UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

V.

Criminal Action
No. 13-10200-GAO

DZHOKHAR A. TSARNAEV, also
known as Jahar Tsarni,

Defendant.

BEFORE THE HONORABLE GEORGE A. O'TOOLE, JR. UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

## Testimony of Edward S. Knapp

John J. Moakley United States Courthouse
Courtroom No. 9
One Courthouse Way
Boston, Massachusetts 02210
Thursday, March 26, 2015

Marcia G. Patrisso, RMR, CRR
Cheryl Dahlstrom, RMR, CRR
Official Court Reporters
John J. Moakley U.S. Courthouse
One Courthouse Way, Room 3510
Boston, Massachusetts 02210
(617) 737-8728

Mechanical Steno - Computer-Aided Transcript

```
1
     APPEARANCES:
 2
          OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
          By: William D. Weinreb, Aloke Chakravarty and
 3
          Nadine Pellegrini, Assistant U.S. Attorneys
          John Joseph Moakley Federal Courthouse
          Suite 9200
 4
          Boston, Massachusetts 02210
 5
          - and -
          UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
 6
              By: Steven D. Mellin, Assistant U.S. Attorney
          Capital Case Section
 7
          1331 F Street, N.W.
          Washington, D.C. 20530
 8
          On Behalf of the Government
          FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER OFFICE
 9
          By: Miriam Conrad, William W. Fick and Timothy G.
10
          Watkins, Federal Public Defenders
          51 Sleeper Street
          Fifth Floor
11
          Boston, Massachusetts 02210
          - and -
12
          CLARKE & RICE, APC
13
              By: Judy Clarke, Esq.
          1010 Second Avenue
14
          Suite 1800
          San Diego, California
15
          - and -
          LAW OFFICE OF DAVID I. BRUCK
16
          By: David I. Bruck, Esq.
          220 Sydney Lewis Hall
          Lexington, Virginia 24450
17
          On Behalf of the Defendant
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1			<u>INDEX</u>			
2	WITNESSES FOR	י חווה	Direct	Cross	Redirect	Recross
3	GOVERNMENT:					
4	EDWARD S. KNAPP					
5	By Mr. Chak By Mr. Watk		4	97		
6						
7	<u>EXHIBITS</u>					
8	GOVERNMENT'S					
9	EXHIBIT	DESCRIPTIO	NC	FOR	ID	RECEIVED
10	1573	Photograph	n of IED explo	sion		27
11	1582	Photograph	า			47
12	957A	Photograph	n of Exhibit N	10. 957		52
13	1122A	Photograph	n of Exhibit N	Jo. 1122		52
14 15	949A	Photograph	n of Exhibit N	10. 949		55
16	DEFENDANT'S					
17	<u>EXHIBIT</u>					
18	3093	Fragmented	d remains of c	cardboard		103
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						

## (EXCERPT AS FOLLOWS:

1

5

- 2 MR. CHAKRAVARTY: The government calls Edward Knapp.
- THE CLERK: Sir, want to step up here, please. Step
- 4 up to the box. Remain standing. Raise your right hand.

## EDWARD S. KNAPP, Sworn

- 6 THE CLERK: Have a seat. State your name. Spell your
- 7 last name for the record. Keep your voice up and speak into
- 8 the mic so everyone can hear you.
- 9 THE WITNESS: Edward S. Knapp, K-n-a-p-p.

## 10 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. CHAKRAVARTY:

- 11 Q. Morning, Agent Knapp. Are you a supervisory special agent
- 12 with the FBI?
- 13 A. Yes, I am.
- 14 Q. How long have you been with the FBI?
- 15 A. Over 19 years.
- 16 Q. Before the FBI, what did you do?
- 17 A. I was in the United States Navy.
- 18 Q. How long were you in the United States Navy?
- 19 A. A little over eight years.
- 20 Q. What unit were you in in the United States Navy?
- 21 A. I was Naval Special Warfare, and that was -- basically
- 22 that's Navy SEAL.
- 23 Q. Before that, what did you do?
- 24 A. I went to college and graduated from the United States
- 25 Naval Academy.

- Q. As long as you've been an agent, have you had a variety of different duties?
- 3 A. Yes, I have.
- 4 Q. And can you just give the jury an overview of your career?
- 5 A. I was afforded regular basic FBI agent training. Then I
- 6 went down to the Miami Field Division. I investigated violent
- 7 crime, kidnapping, extortions. At the same time, I got to go
- 8 to bomb technician school through the FBI in Huntsville,
- 9 Alabama, where all state and local bomb technicians are
- 10 trained. And I was afforded that opportunity. And I went back
- 11 to Miami. Then I went and applied for a position up in the FBI
- 12 | laboratory where I currently reside since the late 2003.
- 13 Q. So let's -- at one point you were a -- I don't want to say
- 14 "regular" special agent because it doesn't make sense. But you
- 15 | were --
- 16 A. Special agent.
- 17 Q. You were a special agent. Then you specialized in -- as
- 18 being a bomb tech, a special agent bomb tech?
- 19 A. Yeah, that's correct.
- 20 Q. So can you describe the process to become a special agent
- 21 bomb tech?
- 22 A. Well, it was a course, a six-week course, basically how to
- 23 | become a bomb tech, things of -- as far as explosives, handling
- 24 explosives, circuitry, what are bombs made up of, training
- 25 | basic to render safe RSP bombs, how to deal with that.

- Q. RSP, does that stand --
- 2 A. Render Safe Procedure, to neutralize an IED or a bomb.
- 3 Q. Are there a variety of field divisions that have special
- 4 agent bomb techs?
- 5 A. Yes. All 56 field divisions have a bomb technician.
- 6 Q. What are the duties of a special agent bomb technician?
- 7 A. They basically coordinate. They liaise state and local,
- 8 assist them at times for special events or if there's
- 9 call-outs.

- 10 Q. What kind of activities do you do if there's a call-out?
- 11 A. I might respond with that particular bomb unit to a call.
- 12 Things are reported back through them to our FBI headquarters
- 13 notifying that there's an incident going on.
- 14 Q. And those incidents amount from, like, suspicious packages
- all the way to an explosion, is that right?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 | Q. And when you respond, do you do a variety of evidence
- 18 | collection techniques?
- 19 A. I imagine they do. I mean, at this point that's up to --
- 20 in that field division, what's going on there at that time.
- 21 Q. Just describing your -- when you were an SABT, did you go
- 22 out and respond to scenes? And describe some of the things
- 23 that you did.
- 24 A. If, yeah, there was a scene or go -- suspicious package or
- 25 there's some material that looks like maybe explosives or hand

- grenade or might be explosive material, to assess if it's a hoax or not.
- Q. And then did you also do render safe procedures?
- A. Practiced a lot. But, I mean, I ended up leaving there
  after a year or two to come up to the FBI laboratory.
- Q. You mentioned earlier that you attended the Huntsville bomb tech training course. Can you explain what that is?
- 8 A. That's a six-week process. You're familiar with
- 9 electrical and nonelectric fusing systems to detonate
- 10 explosives. You handle explosives. You look at render-safe
- 11 tools, tools that are used to disrupt IEDs, X-ray
- 12 interpretation, things like that. And you have training and
- then scenarios. And you get qualified after that if you pass
- 14 tests and meet the standards.
- 15 Q. And who is administering these tests and standards?
- 16 A. That's the Hazards Device School, and it's run by the FBI.
- 17 And there's -- there was military bomb techs or retired
- 18 military bomb techs, you know, retired or public safety bomb
- 19 techs that are assigned as instructors down there in that
- 20 school.
- 21 Q. And they evaluate you before they qualify you?
- 22 A. Yeah. There's practical application scenarios, getting in
- 23 the bomb suit, taking a disrupter, and neutralizing an IED.
- 24 Q. As a result of that, do you get certified as a hazardous
- 25 device technician?

- 1 A. No.
- 2 Q. Okay. How does that happen?
- 3 A. That's a bomb technician.
- 4 Q. Bomb technician, excuse me. Is there a certification for
- 5 that?
- 6 A. For?
- 7 Q. For a bomb technician.
- 8 A. That is the -- down in Huntsville, Alabama.
- 9 Q. Is there ongoing training or a way to assess whether your
- 10 skills are up to date?
- 11 A. There's periodic IED training with state and locals. That
- 12 all depends, like I said, on the division where you're at and
- 13 the bomb techs with their state and local counterparts.
- 14 Q. Have you continued to do that since this training?
- 15 A. No. I'm in the FBI laboratory, in the Explosives Unit.
- 16 Q. I'm just trying to get a sense of, after your training,
- 17 how long you were in the field doing this work and then before
- 18 you came to the FBI lab.
- 19 A. It was about a year and a half.
- 20 Q. And during that time, did you investigate explosives
- 21 incidents?
- 22 A. It's been awhile, but there's one or two, you know, and
- 23 then doing special events with the state and locals over down
- 24 in Florida where I was assigned. But, you know, it's been
- 25 awhile but one or two times at some call-outs at night, but

- 1 other than that --
- 2 Q. So the bulk of your experience has been at the FBI
- 3 laboratory?
- 4 A. I had to be trained and certified, yes.
- 5 Q. So please explain that.
- 6 A. There's a process. I'm a hazardous device examiner in the
- 7 FBI, and we fall under the TEDAC section under the FBI
- 8 laboratory. And we have --
- 9 Q. Let me pause there. What is TEDAC?
- 10 A. The acronym is Terrorist Explosive Device Analytical
- 11 Center. But in the course of -- in the Explosives Unit,
- 12 there's training that basically I had to complete. It takes
- 13 several years. There's actually working cases, doing oral
- 14 examinations, explosives, the admin oral boards for as far as
- 15 the FBI laboratory protocols and procedures and how evidence is
- 16 handled and sent through our laboratory besides working cases
- 17 | with a qualified examiner and then also outside training that
- 18 | was afforded along with -- actually, they would test --
- 19 basically test us. Basically we would -- you know, a case -- a
- 20 mock case would come in. We would have to go from start to
- 21 finish. Then we'd have to basically write reports and then
- 22 testify in a mock room setting. You had to complete so many
- 23 cycles of that type of training. And then once you completed
- 24 all that training, if you completed it, then you would be
- 25 certified as a hazardous device examiner.

- Q. So can you explain to the jury the difference between a bomb tech and a hazardous device examiner?
- 3 A. Well, we had additional training outside, going to
- 4 commercial explosive manufacturers, military manufacturers. We
- 5 have liaison with those type industry reps. We had basically a
- 6 little more extensive training as to be an examiner, you know.
- 7 Bomb tech, you know, they render safe some type of device and,
- 8 you know, it's sent to us. And we're trying to put the pieces
- 9 back together and try to figure out the functionality of this.
- 10 | Was it actually a device or was it not or what they call a hoax
- 11 device.
- 12 Q. So you examine evidence that's submitted from the field?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. I jumped the gun earlier to say -- when I asked whether
- 15 you had been certified to do that. Have you been?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. When -- for how long have you been a certified hazardous
- 18 device examiner?
- 19 A. Since approximately 2007.
- 20 Q. In the course of the last eight years, have you had
- 21 occasions to conduct not just the mock hazardous device exams
- 22 but actual real cases of hazardous device exams?
- 23 A. Yes, I have.
- 24 Q. About how many do you think you've participated in?
- 25 A. Dozens.

- Q. And have you had training in this last eight years as well after you have been certified?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 | Q. Can you describe -- you described some of it.
- 5 A. I mean, each year you have to go through a proficiency
- 6 testing to make sure you're still qualified, and you have to
- 7 pass those testing.
- 8 Q. And is that administered by others in your field who are
- 9 also hazardous device --
- 10 A. It's a requirement in the FBI laboratory under the -- as a
- 11 forensic laboratory under ASCLD.
- 12 Q. And the process of conducting a forensic exam, is that a
- 13 collaborative process that you have -- you do with a team and
- 14 various disciplines?
- 15 A. Well, as far as when the case comes to me, it's checked
- in. It comes to us. There's a process of checking it in. I
- 17 | mean, I have a technician that is assigned to me. And this is
- 18 checked in. We then go to send the evidence out to other
- 19 forensic disciplines within the lab, DNA, latent fingerprints,
- 20 question documents, trace evidence, firearm toolmarks. So this
- 21 evidence is then being sent to the other disciplines for them
- 22 to work on their discipline to find DNA or latent prints. And
- 23 it would be transferred with the proper protocols through the
- 24 different disciplines, and it would come back to us. But at
- 25 the end, at the end point, I'm looking and analyzing the

- 1 material that had come in to me on a case and whatever field 2 division in the FBI.
- 3 Q. Then you render your assessments about that evidence?
- 4 A. Well, whether it is an IED or a bomb, a homemade bomb, or
- 5 it's not. It could be a hoax bomb. Yes.
- 6 Q. And in many of the cases that you've examined, have items
- 7 been submitted to you that hadn't exploded?
- 8 A. Sometimes, yes.
- 9 Q. And in other cases, there are so-called post-blast
- 10 investigations; you get the remnants of materials?
- 11 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 12 Q. In addition to Improvised Explosive Devices, have you had
- 13 training in other types of explosive devices?
- 14 A. I mean, when you talk about an Improvised Explosive
- 15 Device, it can be commercially available, military available
- 16 materials or commonly, readily available you can find and buy.
- 17 And it's just form fashion as the term is "improvised."
- 18 | Sometimes we get an actual -- could be some military munitions,
- 19 and we have to deal with that, you know. But normally they
- 20 come in and they're improvised somehow, not designed as they
- 21 were meant to be functioned.
- 22 Q. Can you elaborate on that? Improvised Explosive Device, I
- 23 think we understand what those words mean by themselves. But
- 24 can you explain --
- 25 A. In an Improvised Explosive Device, you have to have two

```
things. You have to have a main charge, some type of explosives, and some type of fusing system. It could be an electrical fusing system or nonelectrical fusing system. Those are the two main components. And then sometimes how -- what explosive you use, whether it's a high explosive, like a military grade, or a low explosive, like a powder, you might need a container to use in a low-explosive device.
```

- Q. Is that because the gases that build up is what causes the explosion in a low-explosive explosion?
- A. Yeah. You have to have some type of confinement. And basically the chemical reaction in it relieves it mechanically, and there's an explosion in that container.
  - Q. Okay. So as a hazardous device examiner, how do you go about conducting a post-blast evidence forensic examination?

Well, when the material comes in, you know, it's just a

- bunch of, you know, bits and pieces, and we're trying to segregate out stuff that's -- you know, it's not part of the bomb or what I'm looking for, an IED, and then trying to start to recognize pieces of maybe parts of the bomb, whether the fusing system, depending on what type it is, if there's a
- container. There might be a concealment container. Then you're basically trying to identify, I have a power source, or, yes, here's a switch, all kinds of, you know, ways someone
- 24 could fashion a bomb so --

25 And then we're trying to determine the functionality of

the bomb. And then sometimes there's also -- something might have happened in one scene, and then there's a search at another scene, and they might collect some evidence. And then they might ask -- this is the field division or the people request an exam that maybe we compare items from a search scene and from the bombing scene.

- Q. And so when you assemble the evidence and you're trying to figure out what parts are what, how do you go about it? Do you take measurements? Do you take pictures? What are you looking at?
- A. We're looking at roughly trying to separate, like I said before, you know, electrical fusing system needs certain components in it, and we're trying to look for those. An electrical fusing system, you can have some sort of power source. It could be varied type of battery. You have to have a conductor, some wire. You would have to have some type of switch or switches in combination. And also, at the end, we call a load or the -- where the detonator or refer to, like, a blasting cap, or it could be an improvised detonator or initiator.

So you're looking through all the material, and you're trying to find if there is a viable fusing system in there and also then separating out, if there was a container used, the pieces that are coming together, all these little pieces.

There might have been sometimes fragmentation that was added,

- 1 you know, into a device or there's a container or it was
- 2 transported in a box or, you know, some other means. Then
- 3 there might be little bits and pieces left at the scene there.
- 4 But you're going -- concentrating on that to figure out. And
- 5 also, you know, things are being sent to our explosive chemist
- 6 for testing to try to see what type of explosive material was
- 7 used.
- 8 Q. Okay. You just mentioned several things there. Are each
- 9 of those different systems, different components of an IED, a
- 10 fusing system, a container, and an explosive main charge?
- 11 A. Yeah, that's correct.
- 12 Q. Is an energy source also necessary for that?
- 13 A. A power source.
- 14 Q. A power source. For an electrical system, it would be a
- 15 power source?
- 16 A. Some type of power source.
- 17 Q. For something like a pipe bomb, what would the energy be
- 18 from?
- 19 A. It just depends on how it's made. It could be a simple,
- 20 like, a firecracker fuse or those green -- that hobby fuse,
- 21 what we call, just lighting that with a match, or it could be
- 22 an improvised initiator and you're using a battery with some
- 23 type of switch to complete the circuit, and you could function
- 24 it that way.
- 25 Q. Okay. With regards to each of these components, the

- 1 energy source, the fusing system, a main charge or a container,
- 2 can you give some examples of each of those that you've seen
- 3 before or you've read about?
- 4 A. Well, I mean, for an energy power source, nine-volt
- 5 batteries, six-volt lantern batteries, car batteries, things
- 6 like that. I mean, I've seen regular commercial blasting caps,
- 7 commercial nonelectric blasting caps that were improvised to
- 8 make them electric blasting caps, blasting caps that were
- 9 improvised and made, initiators that were -- could be from an
- 10 | SD rocket ignitor used as an initiator.
- 11 Q. How about the various different vessels or containers that
- 12 you've seen?
- 13 A. Pipes, pressure cookers, I've seen those; pipes; in a box;
- 14 PCV pipe.
- 15 Q. Can you describe for the jury how these various components
- 16 interact together to create an IED?
- 17 A. If we're talking in this -- in general or --
- 18 O. In general, and then we'll move to this case.
- 19 A. In general, I mean, if you have that low explosive, you're
- 20 going to have to have a container. And then it depends. It's
- 21 as simple as putting a hobby fuse in it and lighting the hobby
- 22 | fuse or, like I said before, you had some improvised initiator,
- and you have to have a power source attached to it and then
- 24 some type of switch that will close that circuit and send a
- 25 | current to that improvised initiator to ignite the low

explosive.

- 2 Q. And then, because of the containment of the low explosive,
- 3 it will explode?
- 4 A. If that's what the -- yeah, main charge was, yes.
- 5 Q. Now, for purposes of this case, were you assigned to lead
- 6 the forensic examination of the explosives?
- 7 A. Yes, for the Explosives Unit, yes.
- 8 Q. So can you explain what you did early on in the
- 9 investigation?
- 10 A. It started that next day. Evidence started flowing into
- 11 the laboratory. And as far as it was coming in, it was being
- 12 checked in, our process of checking it in, photographing it,
- 13 documentation, setting up an exam plan for all the other
- 14 disciplines. And it just -- it kept coming in every about 12
- 15 hours. There was a shipment at night, shipment in the morning.
- 16 And technicians were receiving the evidence in. We were
- 17 | looking -- looking at it. Sometimes it was sent -- some of the
- 18 | material was sent over maybe to DNA, if there was an issue,
- 19 they wanted to get DNA or latents. But all these examiners,
- 20 material was going to them. And then it was coming back to us
- 21 to -- to our unit but specifically me to analyze and start
- 22 looking through this.
- I mean, there was over 1,300 submissions. There might
- 24 have been 1,300 submissions, but it wasn't one single item.
- 25 We're talking hundreds. So there was probably thousands of

little bits and pieces of trying to go look through this stuff, all this material. And you started separating and then started recognizing, you know, what I talked before about, if there was a piece of a battery, a piece of some type of switch. Then you started getting through the material that was just, you know, collected up at that scene.

What I was specifically looking for, you know, what type of -- if there was a container, if there was some type of fusing system. And what I started to find, that there was a container or, like, a backpack. Then there was pieces of metal, larger pieces of metal that was the container, with the bomb -- you know, it was contained, like, because then found there was a low explosive in it. Then we started seeing bits of wire and pieces of electronic or RC model -- hobby model components within and amongst the debris. And that all started coming in and started separating out, looking for what you need for that electrical fusing system and started putting back the pieces. And then we went on to look at it and test the functionality, if this would work.

- Q. Okay. So once you get the pieces, you analyze them. You figure out how they interact with each other?
- A. We started to figure out what those pieces -- those components were from. You know, in our unit, we also will do testing if we need. Sometimes things come in and we'll test it on the explosives range, but we'll try to re-create the actual

device. We knew the type of receivers, the electronic -- there was electronic speed controllers in there from model cars. The type of model RC car, we were purchasing some. We started seeing, like, bits of the container, the Fagor container, the pressure cooker.

We started going out there and looking and purchasing for exemplars because then we'd even take that, and we'd break apart, say, the RC component because, in the explosive event, it's not going to look the same. Some of it, there was bits of capacitors and bits of a circuit. We actually had an exemplar. We were taking a look because we'd find tiny pieces of wire, tiny pieces of the connectors from the chaotic event, from that explosion. So we also did that for purchasing.

And then eventually it came down we put the functionality, the fusing system together, and did some testing. Then, of course, on -- out there in the public domain, the internet and the manuals for those particular type of RC transmitter, the transmitters for the radio cars, also the receiver types for their -- looking at those and seeing their compatibility and so forth and doing some testing with that.

- Q. After you determined what the evidence submitted was, then you went out and you tried to buy each of these things as exemplars, intact things?
- 24 A. Correct.

25 Q. Then you'd use those to figure out exactly how they

interacted?

- 2 A. That's correct.
- 3 Q. Is that customary in your field, to try to purchase
- 4 exemplars and then create mock-ups?
- 5 A. Yes, it is. Even if there was a vehicle that was in an
- 6 explosion, to go take -- go to the manufacturer, look at the
- 7 vehicle, what type of wiring, what type of systems, if it was a
- 8 device that was blown up in a car. But, yes.
- 9 Q. Just before we move on to specifically how you
- 10 reconstructed those, did you arrive at a conclusion as to what
- exploded on Boylston Street on April 15, 2013?
- 12 A. Yeah. There were two devices in pressure cookers, two
- bombs that were carried in there in backpacks, and they were
- 14 pressure cookers. They had electrical fusing system that was
- an RC model hobby car components in it, and there was a low
- 16 explosive in it. And they were placed on -- down in those
- 17 areas where they went off.
- 18 Q. And a few days later, there were some explosions in
- 19 Watertown, on April 19th. Did you arrive at conclusions as to
- 20 what exploded there?
- 21 A. Yeah. There was another pressure cooker, a smaller Fagor
- 22 pressure cooker. It was -- they recovered, we talked before,
- 23 two pipe bombs. There was an elbow and a pipe coupler. But
- 24 they had internal end plugs, and they had what I talked before,
- 25 just simple hobby fuse. And also there was some fragmentation

- 1 recovered that came in that were bits of pipe and end caps that
- 2 had exploded.
- 3 Q. And in addition to the exploded materials, were there also
- 4 intact submissions that you saw?
- 5 A. That's correct. And there was also approximately three
- 6 pounds of a low explosive in a container with hobby fuse coming
- 7 out of it and also with this green hobby fuse inside the
- 8 container, too.
- 9 Q. Was that also an IED?
- 10 A. Yes, that is.
- 11 Q. You may have mentioned that there were a couple of pipe
- 12 | bombs that had been rendered safe. Did you examine those as
- 13 | well?
- 14 A. Yes. And they had some fragmentation on the inside of
- 15 them.
- 16 Q. Now, are all these Improvised Explosive Devices or
- 17 destructive devices?
- 18 A. Yes. They're a bomb.
- 19 | Q. And so when bombs go off, do you do your own reenactments
- 20 of those devices?
- 21 A. At times. It depends for the explosive effect. But there
- 22 | was no need for that. I mean, there was widely available that
- 23 two devices functioned.
- 24 Q. So let's move on to some of the evidence collection and
- 25 some of the evidence that you looked at.

- 1 MR. CHAKRAVARTY: If we could call up Exhibit 620.
- 2 This is the 2-D. This is in evidence, your Honor.
- 3 Q. Agent Knapp, have you seen this interactive exhibit
- 4 before?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. So as far as -- sorry about that. As far as your
- 7 analysis, what does this -- this is -- I've focused on Scene A.
- 8 What was significant to your analysis in terms of what evidence
- 9 that you were looking at?
- 10 A. Well, I mean, this gives a pretty good description of
- 11 where the blast seat was and then where the pattern of some of
- 12 the materials radiated out from 360 degrees.
- 13 Q. You said the word blast "seat." Is that where the blast
- 14 originated essentially?
- 15 A. Wherever that device was placed. You can see where it --
- 16 it radiates out. This is a good representation.
- 17 Q. There's different colors. Do each of these depict
- 18 different types of the systems that you had mentioned earlier,
- 19 | like --
- 20 A. Yeah, correct, you know, where some of the backpack, some
- 21 of the container, the actual pressure cooker, and then there
- 22 | was also in the -- in this scene, there was copper -- there was
- 23 BBs for added fragmentation in there and also where the fusing
- 24 system -- you know, pieces happened to land at.
- 25 Q. Okay. You mentioned "fragmentation."

A. Correct.

- 2 Q. Can you explain what fragmentation is?
- 3 A. In terms of -- fragmentation could be primary or secondary
- 4 | fragmentation. Primary fragmentation would be, like, in an
- 5 military ordinance, the casing. When it explodes, that's
- 6 primary fragmentation. You might have secondary, which
- 7 basically, depending on the violence and the pressures built
- 8 and as far as that pressure wave going, it picks up pieces in
- 9 the surrounding environment and hurls them out -- hurls them
- 10 out in all directions. And secondary fragmentation can pick up
- 11 just from the scene or sometimes what they call shrapnel or
- 12 added fragmentation, where someone places something on a bomb
- 13 to try to cause more damage. Usually, you see that, what they
- 14 call anti-personnel type devices, where you're trying to cause
- as much damage or inflict, maim, kill, or injure personnel.
- 16 Q. Now, in terms of how an Improvised Explosive Device works,
- 17 if there's no fragmentation, how does that -- how does a bomb
- 18 | actually injure people?
- 19 A. Well, there's always -- I mean, there's a bare charge,
- 20 but, normally, there's the overpressure and the extreme
- 21 pressures going out imparted on the surrounding area, you know,
- 22 | picks up fragmentation from the surrounding. But it's usually,
- 23 you know, overpressure from -- if there's no fragmentation,
- 24 it's really the overpressure that's coming to hit an individual
- 25 and can cause significant damage and death.

- 1 Q. Is that like the shockwave that comes out of the
- 2 explosion?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Is there also heat sometimes generated?
- 5 A. Well, there's the thermal effect. If you're close enough
- 6 to the -- what particular material there is, you know, the
- 7 fragmentation, but is a big one, and then basically the
- 8 pressure, the overpressure, from -- imparted onto the
- 9 surrounding is a big one.
- 10 Q. All right. So back to the Scene A device, when you
- 11 started examining the evidence collected at Scene A, where did
- 12 you first start in order to try to reassemble what the
- 13 architecture of that device looked like?
- 14 A. I mean, there was just evidence coming in. It was not
- 15 like it all came in from Scene A. There was stuff coming from
- 16 the Medical Examiner's Office, Scene A, Scene B. And we
- 17 | basically then started piecing it together and seeing -- I'd
- 18 see the power -- like I talked before, the fusing system of
- 19 this one particular on Scene A was a particular one. And then
- 20 on Scene B, the fusing system, the power source was
- 21 particularly another -- another type.
- 22 | Q. I see. For each scene there was a different -- your
- 23 examination started with a different component based on what
- 24 was either unique or the evidence that you found?
- 25 A. It just came into the lab; and as it came into the lab, a

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

22

23

24

the bag.

- process of examining it. It would come back to us. And basically I knew these particular items were from Scene A.

  These particular items were from Scene B. And then you started analyzing those particular items, looking through the paint cans with all the material in it, looking through the bags that came in with numerous things and material inside those. Then you started -- what I talked about is start looking for the particular components in the fusing system, the container, and
- Q. So let's go to Scene A and explain what you found that was significant about the device at Scene A.
- A. On this scene we found the power source. We found the receiver, the electronic speed controller, also a little part of the improvised initiator in there, the container. There was fragmentation and then the bag that was -- that it carried -- that it was carried in.
- Q. Now, before I go to the components, I just wanted to show you a photograph. With regard to the explosion -- you had just described how an explosion works regardless of the fragmentation. You described the shock and you described the fire.
  - MR. CHAKRAVARTY: I'm putting up on the ELMO for the witness, your Honor, what I'm going to mark now as Exhibit 1573.
- 25 Q. You see the cloud of smoke and the flames off to the

```
1
     right?
 2
     Α.
          Yes.
          Is that an accurate description of what an explosion of an
 3
     IED would look like?
 4
 5
              MR. WATKINS: Your Honor, I'm going to object at this
 6
     point for a couple of reasons. This exhibit was only provided
 7
     last night. We actually thought it was coming in through a
     different witness. I don't know whether there's been a
 8
     foundation for this laid with this witness.
10
              THE COURT: All right. Both objections are overruled.
     He may answer the question.
11
12
          I mean, this is class -- like, in a detonation or
13
     explosion, depending what type of explosive it is. You see the
14
     thermal effect usually close to the seat of the explosion, and
15
     then pressures are radiating out and also fragmentation.
     mean, we -- besides being an examiner, we instruct and teach
16
     post-blast investigation. And we show students the different
17
18
     effects of explosives and what happens when they go off,
19
     different type of explosives. So this is just common. That's
20
     an explosion with the thermal effect, which is usually close to
     the -- in the seat of the explosion.
21
22
              MR. CHAKRAVARTY: I know it's being published, your
23
             I don't know if I actually moved it in yet, but I do
24
     move it in.
```

THE COURT: What's the number?

```
1
              MR. CHAKRAVARTY: 1573.
     (Government's Exhibit No. 1573 received into evidence.)
 2
 3
              MR. CHAKRAVARTY: Go back to the computer, please.
              THE COURT: Actually, we're just about at 11:00. Why
 4
 5
     don't we take this opportunity to take the morning recess.
 6
              MR. CHAKRAVARTY: Thank you.
 7
              THE CLERK: All rise for the Court and jury. The
     Court will take the morning recess.
 8
 9
     (Recess taken at 10:57 a.m.)
10
              THE CLERK: All rise for the Court and the jury.
              (The Court and jury enter the courtroom at 11:26 a.m.)
11
12
              THE CLERK: Be seated.
13
              THE COURT: Proceed.
14
              MR. CHAKRAVARTY: Thank you, your Honor.
     BY MR. CHAKRAVARTY:
15
          Agent Knapp, when we broke we were just getting ready to
16
     look at some of the evidence that was collected at Scene A.
17
18
          Did you find some evidence related to the fusing system of
19
     the device that exploded at Scene A?
20
     Α.
          Yes.
              MR. CHAKRAVARTY: Calling up on 620.
21
22
     Q.
          Is this one of those items?
23
          Yeah, it's the remains of the electronic speed controller.
24
     Q.
          What is an electronic speed controller?
25
     Α.
          In the model car, it basically sends the power to the
```

- 1 motor, whether it makes it go faster or slower or reverse or 2 forward, in the model car.
- Q. And so what function does that perform in an improvised explosive device?
- A. It's just basically a switch in the fusing system. And you can see one of the outputs. That hooks up -- the small leads there hook up to the receiver, and that larger red, you know, that hooks up to the power source battery, and then there's -- there should be -- well, it's so damaged. But in the exemplar there's two leads that go to the output of the motor, and that's where I found that the improvised detonator was hooked up to those two outputs that would normally go into
- 14 Q. I'm sorry. Just "R/C car," do you mean a
  15 remote-controlled --
- 16 A. Remote-controlled, the hobby car.

the motor of the R/C car.

13

21

22

23

- Q. And the screen in front of you, Agent Knapp -- actually, if you touch it, it will leave a mark. So if you want to circle something or show the jury what you're talking about, you can just touch that area or draw a circle around it.
  - So, now, you described that in the piece of evidence that you found, some of the components had been burned off or otherwise destroyed, but in the exemplar, you're able to make out further definition of what this particular item is?
- 25 A. Yes. This is damaged. And in the exemplar, pristine

- 1 condition, you can see where the different connections to the
- 2 battery, to the receiver, and then to where the -- you would
- 3 hook it up to the motor of the hobby car.
- 4 Q. And is this part of the circuit board to that electronic
- 5 speed controller?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Is this the lab photo?
- 8 A. That's correct.
- 9 Q. And what is this last photo?
- 10 A. That's the photo of the two leads that come off that
- 11 electronic speed controller.
- 12 Q. You mentioned that they come off and they go to the
- 13 detonator?
- 14 A. Well, in normal configuration that goes to the motor, but
- 15 the two outputs that go to the motor would be connected with
- 16 the improvised initiator.
- 17 Q. What's an initiator?
- 18 A. Something to set off the main charge, like I talked
- 19 before, blasting cap, an improvised blasting cap, or some type
- 20 of improvised initiator that would set that main charge off.
- 21 Q. And what was the initiator in the two devices on Boylston
- 22 | Street?
- 23 A. Well, on one, Scene A, there was just a small
- 24 fragmentation of a Christmas tree -- basically the green
- 25 | Christmas tree lightbulbs that are strung out in like 50- or

- 200-strand for decoration purposes. And the other scene nothing was found, but that's not to be expected.
- Because the violent nature, the reaction, sometimes you just don't find it remaining or left.
- 5 O. Do you recognize what this is?
- 6 A. Yeah, those are just -- like I said, it had a lot of that,
- 7 the fragmentation there, the bits and pieces. And there's part
- 8 of a -- that circular thing was part of one of the batteries,
- 9 this area -- you know, part of that there was the, you know,
- 10 part of the Christmas tree bulb.
- 11 Q. The Christmas tree lightbulb?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And does a Christmas tree lightbulb have enough power to
- 14 set off a low-explosive charge?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Did you find other items related to the energy or the
- 17 power for that fusing system?
- 18 A. Yes. The power source, they were Sub-C-size batteries,
- 19 but some of that was still connected to part of the leads of
- 20 the battery and other parts of the cells were disbursed amongst
- 21 the scene.
- 22 Q. And I'm showing what's marked as Q208. What's that?
- 23 A. Yeah, I think that was the -- that's the casing of an
- 24 Exceed R/C battery. That was 7.2 volts, and that, I think, was
- 25 | 1800-milliamp rated.

- Q. And so what was the -- explain how the battery interacted with that --
- 3 A. Well, the battery is the -- you know, it basically powers,
- 4 you know, the motor of an R/C car. But the battery, that was
- 5 the power source to provide current to the improvised initiator
- 6 and also provided power to the electronic speed controller and
- 7 also the R -- the receiver, the small receiver, that was found
- 8 also at the scene.
- 9 Q. Let's go to that. I'm showing you what's marked Q178, a
- 10 photo of Q178. What is that?
- 11 A. That was the remains of the Flysky receiver.
- 12 Q. When you say "Flysky," is that the name brand of the
- 13 receiver?
- 14 A. 245 was the model, yeah, the brand of the receiver.
- 15 Q. So can you explain what a Flysky receiver is?
- 16 A. A Flysky receiver basically receives a signal from the
- 17 transmitter. In a normal R/C, the model hobby car, you'll have
- 18 a transmitter or controller, what you steer or drive the car
- 19 forward or reverse. The receiver is in the model car. You
- 20 have the electronic speed controller in there that deals with
- 21 the motor once you hook those leads up. And then you plug
- 22 the -- plug the receiver into the electronic speed controller.
- 23 And obviously that battery, that Sub-C pack, is in there
- 24 providing power for the car in normal operation.
- 25 What has to happen is that receiver has to be connected to

that transmitter. And then in the manufacturer -- in the literature it's available, and then even in that particular manual that transmitter has a code, an identifier, a number. And basically, you have to bind that receiver to that transmitter so they can talk to each other.

And once that's done, that function's performed, then the receiver's bound to that transmitter, then you can use that controller and turn the steering wheel or press the trigger to that controller and the car will perform, you know, whatever desired function you have in normal operation.

And this particular -- this particular type of this manufacturer, Flysky, they use, in their literature, frequency-hopping. And basically, that's just one type of system that's used for this particular transmitter/receiver. And then other manufacturers use a different type of technology -- or called "digital sequencing."

There's two different ways to run your R/C cars, but this particular one, you have to make sure that you have the compatible type of receiver/transmitter to perform driving an R/C car or boat.

- Q. Okay. So was that receiver connected to the electronic speed controller which was connected to the initiator, the Christmas tree bulb?
- A. It was. But I mean, all that was all damaged. But that had to be connected up in that -- we talked a fusing system to

operate the improvised initiator in this particular scene.

Q. Continuing on, did you find a toggle switch?

so...

A. Yeah. Like I said before, testing the functionality and seeing how these things were constructed, we noticed without just putting the receiver, the electronic speed controller in there with the battery and then hooking it up to an improvised initiator, in this particular — in this particular electronic speed controller, when you hit the little slide switch and power it up where it initializes and the receiver then receives power, it's sent output signal to the two leads that were connected to our improvised initiator, which we used as a Christmas tree bulb, and it basically lit the bulb momentarily,

And we also -- during the scene, through all that material, we found the remnants of a heavily damaged -- what was a toggle switch. We couldn't identify it, but it was an illuminated toggle switch. And that was within the parts that we looked at. And for this particular device at Scene A, had a toggle switch also which sometimes they're referred to as a safe-and-arming switch, because if you turn that little slide switch on the electronic speed controller on, and it's sent that output to that Christmas tree bulb to light it up, it would have detonated the bomb.

So to interrupt that circuit, a toggle switch, just like a light on your on-and-off switch, it broke the circuit -- it

just needed to be done for a few seconds, and then the toggle switch just needed to be flipped to the armed position. And then once you went and gave it the appropriate signal from the transmitter, it would function the Christmas tree lightbulb.

Q. So are you saying for that receiver to bind with the

Q. So are you saying for that receiver to bind with the transmitter without actually igniting the Christmas tree light, you need to have this toggle switch on?

A. No, the binding of the receiver and transmitter is already complete. It's basically -- the transmitter you can set aside. You're not worried about -- we're not worried about the transmitter. It's the fusing system. You needed a toggle switch within -- between -- to break the continuity between the electronic speed controller, the receiver battery, just had to have a break in that continuity. Because if you didn't, if you didn't have that toggle switch in when the receiver, electronic speed controller and battery are all put together, and we tested it with a Christmas tree lightbulb on the outputs of that electronic speed controller, when you slid and powered up that electronic speed controller, it had enough output to send current down to that Christmas tree lightbulb momentarily for a second or two, but it would light up, so...

And we found in the evidence, like I said, that damaged toggle switch. And that toggle switch was used to break that once you turned on the electronic speed controller, and then they flipped it on to the -- or someone would flip it on to the

- 1 armed position then, and now it's armed and it's just waiting
- 2 for a signal from the transmitter.
- 3 Q. So the toggle switch was necessary for the arming
- 4 procedure?
- 5 A. Yes. In that particular scene.
- 6 Q. So for that scene, the pressure cooker pot, somebody would
- 7 have had to turn on the device before deploying it?
- 8 A. In both of them. But in this particular instance, just
- 9 the way that electronic speed controller sent a signal or
- 10 output to that -- where that Christmas tree -- improvised
- 11 Christmas tree bulb would have been, it would have set it off.
- 12 Q. And this toggle switch -- was there a similar toggle
- 13 switch found in Scene B?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 Q. Now, in addition to this fusing mechanism, did you find an
- 16 alternative fusing mechanism for the device at Scene A?
- 17 A. Yes, we did. We found a very small piece of what we
- 18 talked before, hobby fuse, that green hobby fuse that's used in
- 19 fireworks. There was two pieces taped up with electrical tape.
- 20 And they were at both scenes also.
- 21 Q. I'm putting up on the screen Q192. Is that the hobby fuse
- 22 | that was recovered from the site of Scene A?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. So can you explain why there would be hobby fuse in a
- 25 device that already had an electric fusing system?

- A. Well, there was two forms of initiation. We talked
  before, there's electrical and non-electrical. The primary
  means of initiation would be electrical by remote-control car,
  but if somehow that failed, then all you would have to do is,
  you know, light the hobby fuse and walk away, and that would be
  sufficient to set off the low explosive.
  - So, you know, when I saw that there, just that small -- that small piece, it appeared that it was cut off -- once that violent reaction, that explosion, it was cut off, and that was the small fragment that remained amongst the many pieces of debris at each scene.
- Q. So when someone lights hobby fuse, describe what the deflagration looks like.
- A. It's just you light it with a match or a lighter, and it starts burning and it's giving off smoke. It might smell like a sulphur smell, but it's burning down. And there would be smoke around.
- 18 Q. And does it take a little bit of time depending on how long it is?
- 20 A. Right. Normally it's two seconds per inch, but it varies.
- 21 But it's just commonly available, manufactured hobby fuse.
- Q. In fact, for both of the scenes on Boylston Street, did
  you find evidence of hobby fuse?
- 24 A. Yes.

8

10

11

25 | Q. In addition, did you find components of a container?

- 1 A. Yes, the Fagor container.
- 2 Q. That's Q10. What's that?
- 3 A. That's the lid of the pressure cooker.
- 4 Q. And did -- the deformities to this pressure cooker, what's
- 5 that evidence of?
- 6 A. An explosive event. And you could see it was crisscrossed
- 7 | with tape. The container had tape on it. And it was
- 8 crisscrossed around the container also.
- 9 Q. You're referring to the kind of clear marks here?
- 10 A. That's correct.
- 11 Q. And this particular lid was found some distance away?
- 12 A. Yes, it was found some distance away.
- 13 Q. So according to the interactive exhibit where I'm hovering
- 14 over here, does that sound right? I know you weren't on the
- 15 scene --
- 16 A. I wasn't at the scene but...
- 17 Q. Were there also components of the backpack that was used
- 18 to conceal these devices?
- 19 A. Yes. It's identified as a Ful backpack.
- 20 Q. Going to Q109, just quickly scroll through these, are
- 21 these the lab photos of that backpack?
- 22 A. That's correct.
- 23 Q. And I'm sorry. This identified the brand as Ful?
- 24 A. And then on the inside, in the liner of the material
- 25 there, it had the Ful brand in there.

- 1 Q. All right. Now let's move on to Scene B. And as with
- 2 | Scene A, did you find radio-controlled remote-control
- 3 components?
- 4 A. Yes, we did.
- 5 Q. Calling up Q41, what are these?
- 6 A. That's a portion of another -- an electronic speed
- 7 controller, but that was -- there was two portions to that, and
- 8 that was a Duratrax Sprint electronic speed controller.
- 9 Q. And the Duratrax speed controller, that's different from
- 10 the Accede that you found at Scene A?
- 11 A. Yes; just a different type of electronic speed controller.
- 12 Q. What does the speed controller do in a typical
- 13 remote-control car?
- 14 A. I said it went and basically provided power to the motor,
- 15 | if you wanted to go faster or slower, reverse or forward. And
- 16 it would provide the input, and the current would go and engage
- 17 the motor. And it would go forward, backwards or slower or
- 18 faster.
- 19 Q. Thank you. And so Q52, is this another portion of the
- 20 electronic speed controller?
- 21 A. Yeah, that's a piece off that -- 52 and 51 is the board,
- 22 the electronic speed controller board.
- 23 Q. Did you also find evidence of a receiver at --
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. A remote-control receiver?

- A. Yes, we did.
- 2 Q. Q122: Do you recognize that?
- 3 A. Yes; that's a Spektrum receiver.
- 4 Q. The company Spektrum?
- 5 A. Yes.

- 6 Q. "Spektrum" with a K?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. It looks like there's -- the name is printed actually
- 9 here. Is that right?
- 10 A. That's correct.
- 11 Q. Now, how does the Spektrum receiver differ from a Flysky
- 12 receiver?
- 13 A. As we talked before, it's just how they communicate and
- 14 what technology that manufacturer had. And that was direct
- 15 sequencing. It's just a different way that it talks to the
- 16 receiver/transmitter. But the same process of binding -- you
- 17 know, they have their receiver and transmitter. The
- 18 transmitter has a unique ID, and basically it is bound. Once
- 19 you bind that to the transmitter, they will talk, like
- 20 communicate.
- 21 | Q. Did you also find a power source at Scene B?
- 22 A. Yes, we did. A damaged Tenergy Sub-C pack.
- 23 0. Is that --
- 24 A. That's the brand name, the Tenergy battery.
- 25 Q. Another battery pack?

A. Right.

- Q. Did you also find evidence of the hobby fuse?
- 3 A. Yes, we did.
- 4 Q. And then finally, did you find portions of the backpack
- 5 itself?
- 6 A. The Fox backpack, yes.
- 7 Q. This was different from the Ful backpack?
- 8 A. Correct.
- 9 Q. And finally, the -- did you find pieces of the container
- 10 as well?
- 11 A. Yes. The container again -- once again, it was a Fagor
- 12 pressure cooker. And there was also, you know, we talked
- 13 before, fragmentation, some small nails and BBs in this scene,
- 14 and compared to just the BBs in Scene A.
- 15 Q. Now, we've just looked at a sampling of some of the pieces
- of evidence, but did you examine each piece of evidence and
- 17 come up with the configuration of how you surmised that these
- 18 devices were constructed?
- 19 A. Well, yes, the configuration that these particular R/C
- 20 components had to be put into and how they would have
- 21 functioned the device.
- 22 Q. And did you create mockups?
- 23 A. Yes, we did.
- 24 Q. And you described how you went out and bought components.
- 25 How did you configure the mockup devices?

A. Based on the -- what was found at the scenes, the particular type of transmitters/receivers, we purchased the -- there was a -- we actually purchased several monster rally trucks where the electronic speed controller, the power source, everything was provided. It was a full, intact one, which was the same components found at the scenes.

And then we -- then I went and constructed the fusing system, like I talked about, placing the receiver, binding it with a transmitter, and then hooking up a -- in this particular, just hooking up a Christmas tree bulb to it.

- Q. And for the device at Scene B, you described earlier that there was no toggle switch found?
- A. Right. We -- I said before we tested those -- that Spektrum receiver with the Duratrax. And when I talked before about having to initialize and we have to turn on the electronic speed controller in Scene A, power -- some output went to those two leads that had the Christmas tree bulb.

When we tested it for the Duratrax electronic speed controller hooked up, there was no output. It didn't light up the Christmas tree bulb, and there was no evidence of a toggle switch remaining at the scene. But it did not function it, once you powered it, initialized it up, so the light did not come on.

Q. So unlike the device at Scene A, the device at Scene B with that Spektrum receiver and the Duratrax electronic speed

- controller, when you armed it, it didn't set off the Christmas tree lightbulb?
- A. That's correct. The fusing system there, yes.

- Q. But like the Scene A, did that device have to be armed before it was deployed?
- A. You had to turn on that slide switch so it would power up, and then it's waiting for the signal from the transmitter.
  - Q. Let's talk a little bit before we move on about -- you described the components within the fusing system of the pressure cooker. What else would have been -- what else did you find evidence of within the pressure cookers?
  - A. Well, within the pressure cooker, the way they were constructed, there was, I said -- in Scene A there were the copper -- the BBs, and there was pink material, a red rosin-type paper backing. But some of the BBs, we found that they had some adhesive -- sealant-type material -- they were like embedded into the sealant-type material.

And also in Scene B, likewise, the same type of material was found. They had the BBs. Or there was BBs and then there was some small nails in it. And also there was -- we started seeing when all this evidence came in, there were pieces of cardboard -- circular pieces of, like, fragmented cardboard with duct tape taped around the edges of it. And we started noticing each scene had cardboard, and then there were some tape associated with those.

- Q. And then you also described, I think, some tape on the exterior of one of the --
- A. Well, and each device, basically it was crisscrossed with tape around the pod.
- Q. So before deploying that device, someone would have had to arm the device and then resealed it before deploying it?
- 7 A. They would have had to go in and make sure the slide
  8 switch on that electronic speed controller was on so it would
  9 power up that fusing system, what we talked about, the
  10 components of it.
- 11 Q. And then resealed the container, the vessel?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And is that because the low explosive --
- MR. WATKINS: Objection to the leading nature, your
- 15 Honor.
- 16 BY MR. CHAKRAVARTY:
- 17 Q. Why does a low explosive need to have a sealed container?
- 18 A. Well, it builds up pressure. But we've done tests
- 19 where -- I mean, low explosives, it just has to have enough
- 20 confinement and then it can, you know, explode, you know, and
- 21 the container can fragment. But we've done tests where you
- 22 don't have, like, say on a pipe, two end caps. One might be
- 23 off and it's still -- that's enough confinement to detonate
- 24 that pipe, so...
- 25 And even if you have enough material by itself, the weight

- of that material can cause enough confinement to start a violent reaction and explode.
- 3 Q. When you say "material," you mean explosive material?
- A. Yeah, by itself the way that -- if you have enough.
- 5 | Q. And is there a correlation between how sealed -- how
- 6 confined something is with how violently it will explode?
- 7 A. Of course if you have, you know, a heavier case, you know,
- 8 a thicker pipe -- you know, it just has to have enough
- 9 confinement in it. But, you know, if it's a thicker pipe,
- 10 | sealed better, time to build up more pressure, but it relieves
- 11 itself, but just enough confinement. And in this case there's
- 12 enough confinement to explode these two containers.
- 13 Q. In exploding a container, breaching the confinement, does
- 14 that in itself create fragmentation?
- 15 A. That's -- the container itself is fragmentation along with
- 16 the added fragmentation.
- 17 Q. And when it -- when an explosion breaches a containment
- 18 vessel, how does the physics work in terms of breaking the
- 19 containment?
- 20 A. It just depends, you know, low explosives, how violently
- 21 they react. You might have bigger pieces or smaller pieces
- 22 depending on the explosives that were used.
- 23 Q. Okay. So in addition to the gas being released, is that
- 24 also when the thermal effect occurs?
- 25 A. Well, initially we talked before, the closer the thermal

- effect is closer to, you know, the explosive or the seed, and then the fragmentation and the pressure is radiating out.
- 3 Q. Does it radiate out in any specific direction?
- 4 A. It's just 360. Just pressures are built up, and 360
- 5 degrees out, just imparting that onto the surrounding
- 6 environment or whatever else is in its way.
- 7 Q. Let me show you a couple of photographs.
- 8 (Pause.)
- 9 Q. Agent Knapp, I just wanted to show you a few photographs
- 10 to see if these are consistent with what the effect is of an
- 11 explosion on a containment vessel.
- MR. CHAKRAVARTY: Can we go to 2D, please?
- 13 THE COURT: Is this something in evidence?
- 14 MR. CHAKRAVARTY: I'm first going to go to the 2D
- 15 | which is in evidence, your Honor. Sorry.
- 16 BY MR. CHAKRAVARTY:
- 17 Q. Agent Knapp, what does this show?
- 18 A. That is the fragmented remains of a pressure cooker.
- 19 Q. And the distortions of the ends and the discoloration, is
- 20 that all effects of the explosion?
- 21 A. Could you repeat that?
- 22 Q. The distortions at the ends -- the edges of the metal, is
- 23 that all the result of an explosion versus something else that
- 24 may have been done to it?
- 25 A. It was ripped apart in the explosion. It came apart, the

container.

- 2 Q. And do you notice that there appear to be some
- 3 indentations here, almost as if stamped out of the metal?
- 4 A. Right.
- MR. CHAKRAVARTY: Now, if I can have one moment. I
- 6 wanted to see if -- rather than using the ELMO, see if we could
- 7 project it out electronically.
- Just for the witness, your Honor. It's not in
- 9 evidence.
- 10 Q. Agent Knapp, showing you an exhibit marked 1582, do you
- 11 | see the grates at the bottom of this photo?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Are those indentations on Q126 --
- 14 MR. WATKINS: Objection, your Honor. This is the
- 15 subject of expertise, and this is not an expert in that.
- 16 THE COURT: All right. Overruled. I'll allow the
- 17 answer.
- 18 BY MR. CHAKRAVARTY:
- 19 Q. Are the indentations that we just saw on Q126 consistent
- 20 with the pattern on the grate depicted in this 1582?
- 21 A. When this device went off, it was placed close to the
- 22 ground and then the force was imparted on it and it just left
- 23 impressions in the metal on the container that was fragmented
- 24 from that grating.
- MR. CHAKRAVARTY: So I'd move in Exhibit 1582, your

```
1
     Honor.
              MR. WATKINS: Objection.
 2
              THE COURT: Overruled. I'll admit it.
 3
              (Government Exhibit No. 1582 received into evidence.)
 4
 5
     BY MR. CHAKRAVARTY:
          Again, was the grating that you're talking about this type
 7
     of grating?
     Α.
          Yes.
 9
              MR. CHAKRAVARTY: Mr. Bruemmer, if we can go back to
10
     the 2D and call up...
11
         And this is what I had identified earlier as that kind of
12
     stamp?
13
     A. Correct.
14
        Now, going to -- moving on to the Watertown crime scene,
     did you use a similar methodology to examine the evidence at
15
     Watertown as you did for the Boylston Street explosions?
16
17
     Α.
          Yes.
         And did you identify -- let's first talk about the
18
19
     pressure cooker device there. Did you identify the vessel that
     was used?
20
21
          It was a smaller Fagor pressure cooker.
22
     Q.
          So for the Watertown scene, Agent Knapp, I think I'm just
     going to show you the physical items because I don't have the
23
24
     exhibit numbers -- excuse me -- the photo numbers handy.
25
          So there was a Fagor pressure cooker device that was
```

- 1 smaller, I think you said earlier?
- 2 A. Just a little smaller in size, yes.
- 3 Q. And the fact that it was smaller, what significance did
- 4 that have to you as an explosives analyst?
- 5 A. Nothing.
- 6 Q. And does it matter how large a vessel it is for purposes
- 7 of determining an explosion analysis?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. Why is that?
- 10 A. Obviously the -- I mean, obviously if it's bigger, you can
- 11 put more explosives in it. But, I mean, it's just a
- 12 containment vessel and it just happened to be that size.
- 13 O. And so how much -- the fact that it's a smaller vessel
- 14 means that it can hold less items. Is that fair to say?
- 15 A. Material.
- 16 | Q. Material?
- 17 A. Possibly.
- 18 Q. So were you able to estimate how much explosive material
- 19 was in these devices?
- 20 A. In the Scene A and Scene B?
- 21 Q. Start with wherever you want.
- 22 A. You know, for the -- I mean, they were -- the same size
- 23 pressure cookers in Scene A and Scene B, and they were like
- 24 six-liter-size pressure cookers, and roughly -- it could be
- 25 anywhere from eight pounds to 16 pounds in those pressure

1 cookers. And obviously, the other one was a four-liter, four-quart size. And obviously, that would be a smaller, you 2 know, eight pounds in there, so forth, approximating. 3 And you're approximating. That's estimating if it was 4 5 half full of explosive material? 6 Α. Half full to full. So that's the range that you described? 7 8 MR. WATKINS: Objection to the leading, your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Overruled to that question. 10 BY MR. CHAKRAVARTY: 11 Now, for the Watertown scene, in addition to the Fagor 12 pressure cooker, which we've seen photos of the lid and the 13 vessel, what other components of significance were there? 14 In the pressure cooker itself, obviously when that went 15 off, they did find wire, a 9-volt battery and a toggle switch at the scene. And then they also had collected two intact pipe 16 bombs. One was an elbow and one was a straight coupler. And 17 18 they had internal end plugs. And then they also collected 19 fragmentation, or bits and pieces, of pipe at that scene. And 20 they also collected a container with material in it with green 21 hobby fuse coming out of the lid. 22 So you said a few moments earlier that it doesn't really 23 matter how sealed something is or -- in terms of whether it 24 will explode. Would the device that was made out of plastic

that had powder in it and hobby fuse, would that have exploded

like an IED?

1

2

3

5

9

A. There's confinement there, and that just happens to be plastic. But there's confinement, and that right there was a device also because it had all the components, the non-electrical fusing system, the main charge and the container.

7 MR. CHAKRAVARTY: Can we call up Image 951, please? 8 I'm sorry. 957.

- Q. This is a Q photo of 582. Do you recognize that?
- 10 A. That's a toggle switch that was found at Watertown.
- 11 Q. And what significance did that have to the device?
- 12 A. Well, there was -- it was connected. They had a
- 13 9-snap-volt battery connector. There was a 9-volt battery
- 14 found. That toggle switch with a length of wire, that right
- 15 there -- obviously there was no initiator on the end of the
- 16 wires, but that was found at some distance away from the
- 17 pressure cooker. And as far as in my course of investigation,
- 18 being overseas, you have a toggle switch, and basically it's
- 19 just an on-and-off switch, you have a power source, and you'd
- 20 have some type of initiator at the end of that. And all you do
- 21 | was to close the circuit, just flip the toggle switch. And if
- 22 that was in an explosive, it would detonate and explode.
- 23 Q. So this was another way to trigger an explosive device?
- 24 A. Well, in --
- 25 MR. WATKINS: I'm going to object, your Honor. That

```
1
     wasn't his testimony.
              THE COURT: Yeah, sustained to that.
 2
 3
     BY MR. CHAKRAVARTY:
          So let's just clarify a few things. You mentioned that in
 4
 5
     the course of the investigation you have come to learn this.
 6
     Do you mean in the course of your training and experience as an
 7
     investigator?
          In dealing with material coming in, or overseas, bombing
 8
     Α.
     investigations, seeing the type of fusing systems out there.
10
     And this is a particularly used -- what we normally have is --
11
     a dead-man's switch or a suicide bomber would have this type of
12
     configuration.
13
              MR. WATKINS: I'm going to object, your Honor.
14
              THE COURT: No, overruled. That may stand.
     BY MR. CHAKRAVARTY:
15
     Q. And in addition to this system, was there
16
     another -- evidence of another fusing system found on Laurel
17
     Street?
18
19
          Well, there was the hobby fuse. It's non-electric.
20
     Basically, the burning of the green hobby fuse. They had it in
21
     the pipes; they had it in the container, the plastic container.
22
              MR. CHAKRAVARTY: One moment, your Honor. I believe
     Exhibit 957 is in evidence, but I'm not sure whether it's the
23
24
     image or the physical piece of evidence. I'm just confirming
```

that.

```
1
              (Pause.)
              MR. CHAKRAVARTY: So, your Honor, I believe 957 is the
 2
     physical piece of evidence. If I could offer this exhibit as
 3
     957A, which is a photo of that.
 4
              MR. WATKINS: No objection.
 5
 6
              THE COURT: Okay.
 7
              (Government Exhibit No. 957A received into evidence.)
     BY MR. CHAKRAVARTY:
 8
 9
          This is that switch that you just described that was found
     in Watertown?
10
11
     A. Yes, it was.
12
              MR. CHAKRAVARTY: I'd call up Exhibit 1122.
13
              THE COURT: Is this in or not?
14
              MR. CHAKRAVARTY: Again, it is in, your Honor. I
15
     just, again, don't know if it's physical or --
16
              (Pause.)
              MR. CHAKRAVARTY: Your Honor, similarly, if not, I'd
17
18
    make clear that this is 1122A, which is a photograph of --
19
              THE COURT: All right.
20
              MR. CHAKRAVARTY: Q71.
              (Government Exhibit No. 1122A received into evidence.)
21
22
    BY MR. CHAKRAVARTY:
23
         And so this is the lid that you were mentioning earlier?
        Of the container? Yes.
24
     Α.
25
     Q.
          So there's hobby fuse on here that we can see. Is that
```

- 1 fair to say?
- 2 A. Yes. It was coming out of the lid of the container.
- 3 Q. So in addition to this hobby fuse, was there other hobby
- 4 fuse found in Laurel -- at the Watertown scene?
- 5 A. There was a bit of hobby fuse inside the container, so
- 6 with the powder that was in there.
- 7 Q. And so the pressure cooker device that was exploded, was
- 8 there any hobby fuse associated with that, or how did you
- 9 determine that?
- 10 A. You couldn't determine -- I mean, usually, hobby fuse
- burns. That explosion happened, and the hobby fuse usually is
- 12 no longer there.
- 13 MR. CHAKRAVARTY: May we go to Exhibit 848.
- 14 I'm not sure whether this is in evidence.
- 15 Q. Do you see this area over here?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Is that significant to you?
- 18 A. It looks like a darker spot in the ground.
- 19 Q. What happens when an IED explodes on asphalt?
- 20 A. It can leave a cratering pattern or it could leave some
- 21 residue near there.
- 22 MR. CHAKRAVARTY: If we could go to Exhibit 852.
- This is in evidence, your Honor.
- 24 Q. Is this that collection of hobby fuse that you were
- 25 talking about?

- 1 Α. Yes. MR. CHAKRAVARTY: Go to Exhibit 853. 2 3 This is another angle. Q. MR. CHAKRAVARTY: Could we go to Exhibit 833, please. 4 5 834. 6 I don't think that's in evidence, your Honor. 7 THE CLERK: 834 is in. MR. CHAKRAVARTY: 834 is in, yes. 8 9 THE COURT: Is or isn't? 10 MR. CHAKRAVARTY: 834 is. My apologies, your Honor. BY MR. CHAKRAVARTY: 11 12 Is this one of those rendered-safe pipe bombs that were 13 found in Watertown? 14 Α. Correct. Could you describe the configuration of this? 15 That was an elbow pipe, two-inch diameter with internal 16 end plugs, it had some Teflon tapes on the threads, and then on 17 18 the inside it had, once again, BBs inside, encased inside, and 19 there was a green hobby fuse coming out of a hole that was in the elbows. 20 21 MR. CHAKRAVARTY: Could we do 842, which is also in 22 evidence. 23 That shows you a picture of the inside, but the same
- A. That shows you a picture of the inside, but the same thing. That's just a straight coupler with the internal end plugs and hobby fuse coming out of it.

- 1 Q. And like the other mockup devices that you made, did you
- 2 make mockup devices of each of these devices: the pipe bombs,
- 3 the plastic container containing hobby fuse and explosive
- 4 | material, as well as the pressure cooker that was found in
- 5 Watertown?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. In addition to the explosives, the exploded IEDs -- the
- 8 remnants of the exploded IEDs as well as the rendered-safe, did
- 9 you also find a transmitter in Watertown?
- 10 A. Yes, there was a transmitter that was submitted with this
- 11 evidence.
- 12 MR. CHAKRAVARTY: And if we could call up Exhibit 949,
- 13 which I would move into evidence 949A as a photo of this.
- MR. WATKINS: No objection.
- 15 THE COURT: Okay.
- 16 (Government Exhibit No. 949A received into evidence.)
- 17 BY MR. CHAKRAVARTY:
- 18 0. And what is that?
- 19 A. That's the modified Flysky transmitter, or basically the
- 20 R/C hobby controller.
- 21 Q. So you said it's a modified Flysky. Is "Flysky" the name
- 22 brand?
- 23 A. Yes, of the transmitter, the controller.
- 24 Q. And so it's the same name brand as the receiver that was
- 25 | found at Scene A?

A. That's correct.

- 2 Q. And you said it was modified. How was it modified?
- 3 A. Well, normally if you had an intact one, there's the
- 4 battery pack on the bottom, and it has like a pistol grip where
- 5 you can hold it, and on the top it has the -- that -- that's
- 6 the electronic display. And there's usually buttons up there.
- 7 And then on one side there's a little steering wheel, and it
- 8 has -- on the grip there's like a little trigger for operating,
- 9 you know, the forward and reverse of your car and the steering
- 10 wheel to turn your R/C car.
- 11 Q. Now, as part of the investigation, did the FBI obtain
- 12 various receipts or other records to see whether there were
- 13 other radio-controlled/remote-controlled components involved in
- 14 the case?
- 15 A. Yeah, other receipts had come in of purchases.
- 16 Q. Right.
- 17 MR. CHAKRAVARTY: If we could call up Exhibit 1160,
- 18 please, which I believe is in evidence. Page 2.
- 19 Q. Is this one of the receipts that you reviewed?
- 20 A. This is one of them that had come in.
- 21 Q. And could you describe the items on this receipt?
- 22 A. Up on the top you see the "Accede R/C electric rally
- 23 | Monster Off-Road Rally Truck, stripe blue." That was a whole
- 24 intact package of an actual R/C car. We purchased several of
- 25 those for our exemplars.

- 1 Q. Did you find any evidence related to that vehicle, like --
- 2 A. On that one, the electronic speed controller and the --
- 3 the electronic speed controller, the receiver and the battery
- 4 | were found at Scene A.
- 5 Q. Okay. Please continue.
- 6 A. And then there's a -- it looks like there's a transmitter
- 7 and receiver, and then another receiver, a Flysky receiver, in
- 8 that list.
- 9 Q. And is there also some batteries?
- 10 A. Right.
- 11 Q. So this transmitter and receiver, does the "FS" stand for
- 12 Flysky?
- 13 A. Yeah. That's the model number, Flysky model.
- 14 Q. All right. And so this entry is for a combination package
- 15 of both the transmitter and the receiver that are compatible
- 16 with each other?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And the last entry is for an extra receiver. That's also
- 19 compatible with those?
- 20 A. Correct.
- MR. CHAKRAVARTY: Can we call up Exhibit 1431, please,
- 22 also in evidence.
- 23 | Q. Did you also have an opportunity to learn more about what
- 24 this was a receipt for?
- 25 A. That was a receipt for a transmitter -- what we talked

- about before, the Spektrum transmitter -- controller and a receiver.
- 3 Q. And so was the receiver consistent with the receiver, the
- 4 remnants of which were found at Scene B?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Did you ever find in the investigation the Spektrum
- 7 controller?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. In fact, were there any other controllers found in the
- 10 investigation aside from the one we just saw from Watertown?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. Was the Spektrum receiver that was found in Scene B -- was
- 13 that compatible with the Flysky receiver that we were just
- 14 looking at?
- 15 A. We talked about -- earlier about the two different ways
- 16 they communicate those two different manufacturers have, the
- 17 | frequency hopping and then the direct sequencing. That's not
- 18 compatible with -- the Spektrum receiver with the Flysky
- 19 transmitter.
- MR. CHAKRAVARTY: Back to 949A.
- 21 Q. Did you determine whether this transmitter bound with the
- 22 receiver that was found on Scene A?
- 23 A. Did I --
- MR. WATKINS: Objection, your Honor.
- 25 THE WITNESS: Basically --

```
1
              MR. WATKINS: Objection.
              THE WITNESS: Basically --
 2
              THE COURT: Wait. Hold on a moment.
 3
              Is it related to disclosure?
 4
 5
              MR. WATKINS: No.
 6
              THE COURT: Let me just see you briefly.
 7
              (Discussion at sidebar and out of the hearing of the
     jury:)
 8
 9
              MR. WATKINS: So this relates to the so-called binding
10
     testimony. I had filed a motion in limine. The Court's never
11
     ruled on that. It's actually not this witness that did any of
12
     the work.
13
              We've agreed, I thought up until now, that we would
14
     allow a certain amount of testimony by Agent Knapp here that
15
     included work done by other people for him. I specifically --
     when we were talking about this carved-out -- that binding code
16
     issue, I had understood up until this minute that he would not
17
     seek to admit that through Agent Knapp. I believe it's
18
19
     complete hearsay as to this agent. He didn't run any of the
20
     tests that would result in that conclusion. I don't even think
21
     the other one had, ran a test.
22
              So I'm asking that that be excluded.
23
              MR. CHAKRAVARTY: The intention is not to elicit that
24
     the binding code is the same on each. He didn't do a test to
25
     figure out what the binding code was. But he can say -- he's
```

```
1
     going to say -- what I wanted to elicit -- and I can ask
     another question to elicit that -- is was the Flysky receiver
 2
 3
     that was found compatible with the Flysky receiver that was
     found in Watertown. The transmitter pair -- were they
 5
     compatible with each other. And if they determined that they
     actually were -- worked together, I guess is the correct --
 7
              MR. WATKINS: That is not the question you asked. You
 8
     said "bind." If you want to ask are they compatible, that's
 9
     good.
10
              THE COURT: What does "compatible" mean?
11
              MR. CHAKRAVARTY: That they can talk to each other as
     opposed to --
12
13
              THE COURT: Right. And how is that different from
14
     binding?
15
              MR. CHAKRAVARTY: So binding is the more precise idea
     that there was a specific code on one that matched the code on
16
     the other. And my point is I want to be more general but still
17
18
     show that these two can talk to each other, and they can't talk
19
     to the device on Scene B.
20
              THE COURT: Well, I think he's already said the
21
     latter. I don't think --
22
              MR. CHAKRAVARTY: Yes.
23
              THE COURT: Okay.
24
              MR. WEINREB: I'm sorry, your Honor. Before we
25
     leave --
```

1 THE COURT: Yeah. 2 MS. CLARKE: While I've got you. 3 (Laughter.) MR. WEINREB: Can I just add that -- I'd assume that 4 5 the binding code like -- the reasoning -- the defense is not 6 going to be permitted to inquire of this witness about binding 7 codes, or specifically to ask questions intending to elicit -- if he is going to ask questions intending to suggest 8 9 to the jury that Tamerlan Tsarnaev might have detonated at the 10 scene two bombs, that would be trying to -- asking the jury to 11 assume facts not in evidence in bad faith because the defense knows that Jahar Tsarnaev in fact did detonate the second bomb. 12 13 And to ask questions to elicit otherwise would not be 14 good-faith cross-examination. 15 THE COURT: Okay. Is there an issue? MR. WATKINS: There is an issue, your Honor. 16 longer issue. I understand the Court is going to break at 17 18 12:45. I don't know how much Mr. Chakravarty has left. I'm 19 not sure that that won't --20 MR. CHAKRAVARTY: There's not much more other than 21 he's going to explain the mockups that he created, we're going 22 to do a demonstration, and then we're going to talk about the 23 Inspire magazine. I think if we're breaking early for lunch 24 today, it would take us at least to that. 25 MR. WATKINS: So I will consider what Mr. Weinreb has

1 talked about, and we will come to some kind of agreement on 2 that, or agree to disagree. 3 THE COURT: Well, okay. I'll leave it at that for 4 now. 5 MR. CHAKRAVARTY: One other point that might implicate 6 this again is before we do the mockup and the demonstration, he's going to -- he had created a device, and he was going to 7 actually activate the device. In order to do that, he has to 8 9 bind the transmitter with the receiver, so -- which he's 10 already explained there is a binding process, and now he's 11 bound to that. 12 THE COURT: Is it in his disclosure that he's going to 13 do that? 14 MR. WATKINS: We're getting into larger issues here. 15 We spoke on Sunday about some of the -- Mr. Chakravarty, I think, is going to introduce some mockups of each of these 16 individual items and do some demonstrations. That was one of 17 18 them that I identified that we would object to, that -- using 19 that mockup, because that essentially gets them to the same 20 place where their expert that they did not call would try to 21 get them. 22 THE COURT: Isn't that part of the instructions that 23 comes with the car? 24 MR. CHAKRAVARTY: It is. That's the only way these 25 cars would work.

THE COURT: I don't know if that's expert. That's just sort of -- it's the way some 12-year-old gets the car to run.

MR. WATKINS: That is true as a general matter, but what they are trying to prove is that this specific one bound with that specific receiver down there, and that is the subject of expert testimony. It could have, but for them to say that it absolutely did...

THE COURT: Well, that it could be the subject of expert testimony doesn't necessarily mean that it is; in other words, it might be an inference from other non-expert evidence. I don't know. It depends on how it's presented. But it sounds elementary, that you have to punch in the code that you have to use in order to identify the car to the transmitter.

MR. WATKINS: That part of it is true, that they would identify -- that the two of them -- whether this particular transmitter identified with this particular receiver -- it's not functioning anymore. They can't do it. They're unable to do it, so they do it in a roundabout way.

MR. CHAKRAVARTY: That's a separate question. In the actual piece of evidence versus in the exemplar, which he has to say that's what he did in order to get the thing to work.

MR. WATKINS: And that's why I think it's objectionable. It doesn't come in because of that exact reason.

THE COURT: No, I think he can say that's what he did. 1 He's not offering an opinion about these two other devices, so 2 he could go there. MR. WATKINS: Okay. As long as -- because we're up 4 5 here and we're talking about the mockups, there's also a mockup of the so-called Tupperware bomb. I don't think that comes in 7 at all because they don't have the same Tupperware container. 8 MR. CHAKRAVARTY: We're going to use the amount of powder in the Tupperware. There's an inert material that 9 10 they're using as an example of that. That I wanted to put into 11 the actual piece of evidence so that the jury can see how heavy this thing is. So we wouldn't be moving the Rubbermaid in, but 12 13 we would be moving the material inside of the bag. 14 MR. WATKINS: So as I understand it, they're mixing exemplar material with an actual exhibit. I would object on 15 that ground because they're moving those two things in --16 MR. CHAKRAVARTY: The exemplar would be the 17 separate -- would be a new exhibit number, and we would put 18 19 that new exhibit number in an existing exhibit number for 20 demonstrative purposes. It wouldn't itself --21 THE COURT: Why can't you just have a similar 22 container? 23 MR. CHAKRAVARTY: We have a similar one. That's what 24 Mr. Watkins is objecting to. 25 MR. WATKINS: They bought the wrong darn container. Ι

1 mean, it's the wrong size; it's the wrong color. MR. WEINREB: We have the actual container. We can't 2 put real explosive powder in it, so we're proposing to put 3 substitute explosive powder. 4 5 THE COURT: As long as it doesn't alter the exhibit. 6 MR. CHAKRAVARTY: It won't alter the exhibit. 7 see if they can do it. 8 MR. WATKINS: And then finally, the government is seeking to admit all of these. I understand that they're 9 10 mockups, they're exemplars, but they do not get into evidence 11 as a general matter, any of these mockups. MR. CHAKRAVARTY: So we think it's important that they 12 13 do because, first, you can't appreciate the intricacies of the 14 fusing system unless you're handling it. It's one thing to 15 pass it around; it's another thing to actually feel how heavy this thing is. It's important to see how the charges are 16 separated from each other. I think based on his foundation, 17 him saying, "This is how I assembled it," it goes to weight, 18 19 literally and figuratively. 20 THE COURT: I'll have to think about that. MR. WATKINS: One more: the kill switch. 21 22 Watertown -- I object to -- the mockup they have actually has 23 the kill switch being inside of the pressure cooker itself, or at least as I viewed it. That's pure speculation. The kill 24

switch shows no signs of burning. There was nothing to

indicate it was on the inside.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

As he has testified, there is no evidence of the Christmas tree light that would be responsible, exploded or unexploded. Both the battery and this kill switch quite obviously were separate. Maybe they were going to do something with that -- maybe Tamerlan was going to do something with that, but there was -- they were completely separate. It's pure speculation as to this mockup, certainly in his testimony, generally to say that there was a kill switch mechanism.

THE COURT: I think it's a matter for cross-examination, that point. You can expose that on cross-examination.

MR. WATKINS: Yeah.

14 THE COURT: Okay?

15 (In open court:)

- 16 BY MR. CHAKRAVARTY:
- Q. Agent Knapp, based on this evidence that you -- was submitted to you, 949 and 949A, did you create a modified version of an exemplar transmitter that would be comparable to the one that was seized in this case?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. And how did you make the modifications to the version of the device that you bought off the shelf?
- A. Removed the trigger and the cutback on the throttle, put the battery pack up beneath where the LCD or the circuit board

was. This stuff was -- like I said, we have all kinds of examiners in the FBI in our operational technology division. They have examiners there also. So evidence went over to them, besides in our laboratory, to determine examinations on particular items, whether they're with computers or like this transmitter here that had gone over to them also.

But the modifications we made were like the modifications of that transmitter. And that transmitter basically was modified in such a way that when you turn on the power button, it's going to send a full output to that electronic speed controller which then is going to, you know, send the current -- all the current, to those where we talked about, those two outputs to the motor. And where that would have been, that's where the initiator -- the improvised initiator would have been, and it would have -- you know, it would light up.

- Q. So instead of having to turn the throttle or to pull a trigger, just turning the transmitter on would send a full signal?
- A. The power button on that Flysky, yes. When you turn that on, it would send a full output to the electronic speed controller because what it was seeing was a full reverse -- like you were running the car full reverse.
- Q. So, Agent Knapp, how sophisticated would you have to be in order to create the improvised explosive device you described?

1 MR. WATKINS: I'm going to object, your Honor.

THE COURT: Go ahead. You can answer.

THE WITNESS: You have knowledge of hobby cars, the components. You might do a little bit of a testing to make sure you, you know, get the right hookups. But commonly available out there in the Internet, how to modify. There's other Internet sites out there. It's not that too sophisticated. I mean, if you know you have the components of the hobby car, once you use your transmitter, press a button, and you can get it to the output of the electronic speed controller, it's not too difficult of a system to build.

- 12 BY MR. CHAKRAVARTY:
- 13 Q. And you mentioned information is available on the Internet
- 14 | about that?

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

- 15 A. Right. Widely available on just R/C models and
- 16 transmitters, different receivers, how to increase power to
- 17 your cars. All these hobbyists out there that go around and
- 18 fly planes or race cars.
- 19 Q. And so that's all with regards to the R/C component, the
- 20 radio-controlled, remote-control component to this?
- 21 A. Right.
- 22 Q. How about the assembly of improvised explosive devices?
- 23 A. There's many sites out there available on the website.
- 24 | Some give you step-by-step instructions on how to build an IED
- 25 or bomb.

- 1 Q. And have you seen pipe bombs many times in your career?
- 2 A. Yes.
- Q. And the principles behind building a pipe bomb, are those
- 4 pretty widely available as well?
- 5 A. Correct.
- 6 Q. Now, have you had a chance to review the *Inspire* magazine
- 7 which details the instructions they propose to build an
- 8 improvised explosive device?
- 9 A. Yes.
- MR. CHAKRAVARTY: If we could call up 1142-91, page 3.
- 11 | Sorry. Next page, please.
- 12 Q. Agent Knapp, does this first section of the "how to"
- 13 portion of this article say that there are two types of
- 14 explosions? The first is a chemical explosion. "This
- 15 explosive causes great pressure that would kill living beings
- 16 within a certain radius. Examples are all the military-grade
- 17 explosions such as TNT, CR and RDX." Did I read that first
- 18 section properly?
- 19 A. Yes, just the chemical explosion and you have mechanical
- 20 explosions.
- 21 Q. And so the type of IED that you've described on Boylston
- 22 Street in Watertown, those are not chemical explosions, right?
- 23 A. Well, there was a chemical reaction for the low explosive,
- 24 and then the container relieved and mechanically separated with
- 25 the pressure being built up from the explosives.

- Q. Okay. So does this article next go on to read, "The
  mechanical explosion: This results from the burning of an
  inflammable material within a confined space. An example is
  putting gunpowder inside an iron pipe with a small opening
  enough only for a fuse. When the gunpowder is ignited, great
  pressure results from the gunpowder turning into gases, and
  which result in the exploding of the iron pipe, turning it into
  shrapnel flying at a high speed."
- 9 Did I read that properly?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 Q. And is that the type of explosion that you were describing
- 12 | earlier?
- 13 A. Yeah. I mean, it relieves the container, it relieves --
- 14 just like any buildup of pressure, whatever that comes from,
- 15 | there's a buildup of pressure and it relieves the vessel or
- 16 container.
- 17 Q. And does the *Inspire* magazine also suggest an elbow pipe,
- 18 some flammable material which I think *Inspire* calls
- 19 "inflammable substance," and then what appears to be a
- 20 Christmas tree light?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Section B gives instructions on how to extract the
- 23 inflammable substance. And does it say that -- does it propose
- 24 to strike the head of the match softly?
- 25 MR. WATKINS: Your Honor, I'm going to object to

- 1 Mr. Chakravarty continuing to read all of this. If he wants
- 2 the witness to read...
- 3 BY MR. CHAKRAVARTY:
- 4 Q. Agent Knapp, if you wouldn't mind reading --
- 5 A. "Grind the substance and filter to obtain a fine powder.
- 6 In the picture you will see the fine powder and you will add
- 7 | sugar equivalent to one-quarter its quantity. Mix the two
- 8 substances until they become uniform in color."
- 9 Q. What's the purpose of these instructions?
- 10 A. Basically to get a low explosion composition, harvest it
- off of matches, and stick a fuel in there and mix it up and you
- 12 have a low explosive.
- MR. CHAKRAVARTY: Next page, please.
- 14 Q. Preparation of the decoration lamp?
- 15 A. Yeah, this basically is telling you, you know, be careful
- 16 how you open up a little Christmas tree bulb. Be careful of
- 17 the filament, because if you break the continuity of that,
- 18 you're not going to have an initiator. There's a little
- 19 filament in there, and they say to carefully place it inside
- 20 your low explosive. Just an improvised initiator using a
- 21 Christmas tree bulb.
- 22 Q. And is that a process that takes some experience in doing
- 23 effectively?
- 24 A. It's -- sometimes it can be tricky when you break that
- 25 glass bulb and then you ruin the inside filament, but it just

- takes, you know, just a little bit of ability to crack the glass just right. But nothing too sophisticated about that.
- Q. This next section talks about preparation of the iron pipe and it suggests drilling a hole in the pipe. Is that right?
- A. Right. I mean, you have to insert your initiator somehow, whether it was a Christmas tree light as they're talking this way, or just a piece of that green hobby fuse into your pipe.

MR. CHAKRAVARTY: Next page, please.

- Q. It says the final preparation. Can you read this, please?
- A. "Pour some inflammable substance into the lamp. Do so
  gently in order not to tear the filament, which is very
  sensitive. The device will not explode if the filament is
  torn.
  - "Insert the lamp into the pipe with the wires sticking out.
  - "Fill the pipe with the inflammable substance. Avoid having any of the substance on the threads of the pipe so that it won't ignite when closing the pipe.
    - "Wrap tape around the pipe to close the hole which was drilled into the pipe only leaving the wires sticking out. The tape will surround the wires, closing any gaps in the pipe and will not wrap around them."
- 23 0. It continues down here.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

A. "You may substitute the inflammable substance extracted from the matches by gunpowder used in cartridges but you may

- 1 also use powder from fireworks instead. Note: You do not have to use one substance. You may mix together the substance from 2 matches, gunpowder or fireworks, but in doing so, you'll need 3 to mix them well," and it goes on to talk about electricity 5 source.
- So just on the flammable substance they're talking about, 7 those are all low explosives that they describe there?
- Yeah, that's low explosive. 8 Α.
- 9 Okay. Please continue with the electricity source.
- 10 "The importance of the electricity source in the explosive
- device is that it is the key in igniting the device. The 11
- electricity that is sufficient to turn on a small lamp is 12
- 13 sufficient to cause an explosion. This electrical current may
- 14 reach to the lamp directly through a battery, by a time circuit
- or by a remote-controlled circuit." 15
- Q. And is the hobby car fusing system that you described 16 earlier -- is that a remote-controlled circuit?
- 18 Α. That's correct.

- 19 MR. CHAKRAVARTY: Next page.
- 20 Q. Now, did they give an example of the timed circuit here?
- Yeah, they have chosen for you a timed circuit as it is 21
- 22 simple. "We set up the circuit which is composed of a 9-volt
- 23 battery, a wire connected to the plus of the battery and a
- 24 nail, the red wire, a wire connected to the negative of the
- 25 battery, and a test lamp, the black wire.

2

3

5

7

8

9

17

18

19

20

21

22

"Note: You may use any small lamp here. Take notice that this is not a lamp we filled before with the inflammable substance. We connect from the other pole of the lamp a green wire. When this wire touches the nail, the circuit is closed and the lamp should light."

This is simply a very basic -- you got a power source.

The switch they have is the nail touching the other side of the wire, and the power source is hooked up and you have a little light and it will come on.

- Q. So far with the exception of the typos, are the instructions they've been giving consistent with how you understand an IED would work?
- A. Consistent with a fusing system, a simple fusing system, yes; that you could use in an IED, yes.
- MR. CHAKRAVARTY: Next page, please.
- 16 Q. And then this page, it describes the --
  - A. Modifying a mechanical clock in using two contacts. Once they touch, it's a switch. Just like in the previous, they use the nail to the wire. They're just using the hands of the clock with a wire. And once -- they're talking about using one of the hands, and once it comes and touches a nail, it completes the circuit, a simple circuit.
- MR. CHAKRAVARTY: Next page, please.
- Q. This middle section, is it more details about that timed explosive?

- A. Yeah, correct, using the timer to function up in the top,
  the light or how you could set it up to function that pipe
  bomb.
- Q. I want to focus in on this bottom section which talks about fragmentation. Can you read that?
  - A. Yeah. "It is important to put a quantity of small nails on the surface of the iron pipe from the inside. You do that by sticking them to the wall of the pipe by using glue. The pipe used here is a 2-inch one. The inflammable substance used to fill it was extracted from 80 match heads. The explosion that results from this device is a mechanical one. It results from the pressure caused by the gases and, therefore, it only works if contained in a high-pressure environment. So you may use iron pipes, pressure cookers, fire extinguishers or empty propane canisters. The point is that the inflammable substance needs to be" --
- MR. CHAKRAVARTY: Next page, please.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- 18 A. -- "contained in a strong container that would allow the pressure to build up and thus cause a damaging explosion."
  - Q. All right. If I could ask you to stop there?
- MR. CHAKRAVARTY: Your Honor, I think we were going to break a little early?
- THE COURT: How much more do you have on this topic?
- MR. CHAKRAVARTY: This topic, just to the end of this
- 25 article. So just a couple more minutes?

- THE COURT: Yeah, go ahead.
- 2 BY MR. CHAKRAVARTY:
- 3 Q. Now, they mention fragmentation such as small nails?
- 4 A. Right.
- 5 Q. Which of the devices in this investigation had small nails
- 6 as an apparent secondary fragmentation?
- 7 A. That was at Scene B.
- 8 Q. And that's the blast in front of the Forum restaurant?
- 9 A. That's correct.
- 10 | Q. Would you please continue reading?
- 11 A. "However, in order to fill, for example, a pressurized
- 12 cooker with the substance from matches, it would take a lot of
- matches to do so; therefore, you may want to use gunpowder or
- 14 powder from fireworks.
- "You need to also include shrapnel. The best shrapnel are
- 16 the spherical-shaped ones. As you can see in the figures
- 17 below, you need to glue them to the surface of your canister.
- 18 If steel pellets are not available, you may use nails instead.
- 19 Above is a 2-inch iron pipe with nails inside it. You fill the
- 20 inflammable substance afterwards."
- 21 O. Please continue.
- 22 A. "The next three points illustrated by the previous images
- 23 are for shrapnel used with a gas canister. The shape of nails:
- 24 You may place the nails in a mold or pour glue over them, and
- 25 when dry you remove them from the mold, wrap the molded nails

around the canister. After wrapping the shrapnel around the canister, empty the canister from the gas and open the valve and then fill it with the inflammable substance. Insert the lamp with the wires sticking out just as you did earlier with the iron pipe.

"With that said, here are some important steps to take for an effective explosive device: Place the device in a crowded area. Camouflage the device with something that would not hinder the shrapnel, such as cardboard."

- Q. When it's talking about gas canister in this article, do you understand that to mean like a propane-gas-type canister
- 12 or --

1

2

3

5

6

7

- 13 A. Any type of -- yeah, fire extinguisher, propane canister.
- Q. And in this investigation did you recover materials such
- 15 as cardboard --
- 16 A. Yes, we did.
- 17 Q. -- from each of the scenes, on Scenes A and B?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 Q. Read the iron pipe method.
- A. "The iron pipe method is effective if more than one is used simultaneously. To do so, bundle one wire from each pipe together and then bundle the remaining wires together as you may see in the illustration to the bottom right. One bundle would represent the green wire which connects to the clock's hour arm. The other bundle connects to the...on the battery."

- The negative of the battery.
- Q. The negative terminal of the battery?

  All right. Read this section, please.
- 4 A. "The pressurized cooker is the most effective method.
- 5 Glue the shrapnel to the inside of the pressurized cooker, then
- 6 fill in the cooker with inflammable material. Insert the
- 7 prepared lamp into the inflammable material gently in order not
- 8 to break the filament of the lamp. Then have the wires
- 9 sticking out of the hole in the lid of the cooker. Wrap some
- 10 tape around the hole to seal any openings and connect the wires
- 11 to the electric source in the same way we did with the iron
- 12 | pipe."

2

- 13 Q. With regards to the non-electrical fusing system that you
- 14 found from the Boylston Street devices, are these instructions
- 15 consistent with what you uncovered in your investigation?
- 16 A. Right. They add the fragmentation, the shrapnel. They
- 17 | had the BBs in Scene A embedded with a sealant, and they had
- 18 the fragmentation with the BBs and the small nails inside the
- 19 | pressure cooker in Scene B, in a sealant.
- 20 Q. And then you described earlier the nuance with getting the
- 21 Christmas tree light done right?
- 22 A. That's correct.
- 23 Q. I'll conclude with this. Read the safety precautions.
- 24 A. "The following are a few safety precautions: Put your
- 25 trust in Allah and pray for the success of your operation.

```
1
     This is the most important rule: To wear gloves throughout the
     preparation of the explosive to avoid leaving behind
 2
 3
     fingerprints. Three, this is an explosive device so take care
     during preparation and handling. In the article we covered one
 5
     of the many ideas for a lone mujahideen. We ask Allah to
     assist our brothers in targeting his enemies and we ask Allah
 7
     to grant us victory."
 8
              MR. CHAKRAVARTY: This is a good place to break, your
 9
     Honor.
10
              THE COURT: All right. We'll take the recess at this
11
     point.
12
              THE CLERK: All rise for the Court and the jury. The
13
     Court will take the lunch recess.
14
              (The Court and jury exit the courtroom and there is a
15
     recess in the proceedings at 12:53 p.m.)
              THE CLERK: All rise for the Court and the jury.
16
              (The Court and jury enter the courtroom at 2:13 p.m.)
17
18
              THE CLERK: Be seated.
19
     BY MR. CHAKRAVARTY:
20
          Agent Knapp, you described earlier that some mockup
21
     devices were made, and you said that you had constructed the
22
     configuration of these mockup devices to comport to the devices
23
     that you've spoken about that were -- evidence of which was
24
     found in this case. Is that right?
25
     A. Yes, that's correct.
```

- 1 Q. Did you bring those into court with you today?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. And so do you have a mockup device for the Scene A
- 4 pressure cooker bomb?
- 5 A. Correct.
- 6 Q. And that we'll call 1568. And for the Scene B pressure
- 7 cooker bomb, is that 1569?
- 8 A. Correct.
- 9 Q. And then for the materials that -- the inert explosive
- 10 materials that were in the Rubbermaid container that was found
- in Watertown, did you bring an inert facsimile of that
- 12 substance?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Okay. And for the facsimile of that substance, we're
- 15 going to mark that as 1570. And for the pressure cooker bomb
- 16 at Watertown, is that 1571?
- 17 A. Am I supposed to be seeing something on the screen?
- 18 O. You don't know the number. Okay. I'll show you.
- 19 Did you bring the mockup for the Watertown pressure cooker
- 20 bomb?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. And did you also bring the mockups for the two pipe bombs
- that were rendered safe in Watertown and you had mockups made?
- 24 A. That's correct.
- 25 \ Q. And are all of these helpful to explaining your testimony

- 1 about how each of these devices worked?
- 2 A. For the fusing systems, yes.
- 3 Q. And can you explain how you approximated how much
- 4 explosive material to put in?
- 5 A. Before the -- we said the volume of those Fagor pressure
- 6 cookers, half to full, estimated that it was about eight pounds
- 7 if it was full to 16 pounds, and then of course the smaller one
- 8 | would have had less than the larger ones.
- 9 Q. And about -- for the pipe bombs, you actually were able to
- 10 | collect the unexploded material from the Watertown devices. Is
- 11 that fair to say?
- 12 A. Well, they were -- like I said, they were rendered safe
- and the powder was taken out of them before they came down to
- 14 us.
- 15 Q. And did you approximate that -- sorry. In the mockups
- 16 that you made there is no powder, correct?
- 17 A. There is no powder.
- 18 MR. CHAKRAVARTY: Your Honor, at this point I would
- 19 ask permission to have SSA Knapp approach the podium with each
- 20 of those exhibits and demonstrate -- or to show that -- each of
- 21 the components of those exhibits to the jury.
- 22 THE COURT: That's fine. It's ahead of the -- I'm not
- 23 | sure if you've worked out the lines of sight for counsel.
- MR. WATKINS: I'll move around if the Court doesn't
- 25 mind.

1 THE COURT: Move around or move to a spot? 2 (Laughter.) 3 MR. WATKINS: I will move to one spot and try my best to stay still. 4 5 THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead. Proceed. BY MR. CHAKRAVARTY: 7 Agent Knapp, if you'll come to the podium here again. (Witness complies.) 8 Α. 9 Agent Knapp, just keep your voice up so everyone can hear 10 what you're saying. 11 This was the pressure cookers from the mockup from Scene A, a hobby fuse coming out of it. Inside was the fusing system 12 13 we talked about earlier. Now, we found fragments of cardboard 14 taped up around, and then the inside -- I mean, the 15 fragmentation was on the inside and it was with a sealant, and these were where the BBs were encased inside. We found this 16 pink-like rosin paper encasing just on the outside. Those 17 18 would have been placed in here. 19 And this was the -- what we talked about before, 20 electronic speed controller. We have the Flysky receiver here. 21 Here was the toggle switch, the power source. And off the 22 electronic speed controller where the two outputs would go to 23 the motor and an improvised initiator was connected in. And 24 we're representing this as the lightbulb right here. But the 25 improvised lightbulb would have been into the main charge, the

- low explosive.
- 2 Q. So when you say it would be into the main charge, meaning
- 3 that --

- 4 A. Yeah, this is just represented in the bag because it would
- 5 be pretty messy in here otherwise.
- But anyway, this was placed inside the pot. You know,
- 7 there was -- the tape was crossed over, you saw, in some of
- 8 those pictures there, and then it was placed in the backpack.
- 9 Into a backpack.
- 10 You know, this was found at Watertown, and this is the
- 11 mockup of what was found there out of a bag. But this is
- 12 actually what the controller would look like.
- 13 Q. For the record -- one moment. Just for the record, you're
- 14 holding up two transmitters. One appears to be a modified
- 15 Flysky transmitter and one is an intact Flysky --
- 16 A. Yeah, this is what it would have looked like where the
- 17 | pistol grip, the trigger control and the wheel for steering the
- 18 car. That's the battery pack where it was cut off and placed
- 19 underneath, and all these buttons here are gone, but the dials
- 20 are still underneath it. So this was how that was found.
- 21 Q. Now, just to clarify, the modified device you just held
- 22 up, that's a mockup, not the original evidence that we saw --
- 23 A. Yes, this is the mockup of the Flysky, what we found at
- 24 the scene.
- 25 Q. Agent Knapp, could you put the pressure cooker into the

```
1
     backpack?
          I mean, it is pretty heavy.
 2
              MR. CHAKRAVARTY: Your Honor, I would ask for
 3
     permission -- first, with regard to all of these, I'll move
 4
 5
     them in -- or make a motion to move them into evidence, but if
 6
     I may ask to publish them in the backpack so that the jury
 7
     can -- actually, maybe take it out of the backpack -- excuse
     me -- so the jury can actually see it close up.
 8
 9
              MR. WATKINS: I object to actually admitting them.
10
     Passing them around, no problem.
11
              THE COURT: I'll reserve on the admission, as we've
12
     discussed, but you may exhibit them.
13
     BY MR. CHAKRAVARTY:
14
          Agent Knapp, if you would be so kind to pass around this
     device.
15
     A. You want the lid --
16
         Take the lid off.
17
     Ο.
18
              (The exhibit is published to the jury.)
19
     Q.
          Agent Knapp, while the jury's looking at that, just to
20
     clarify, all of the powder that you have in these exemplars is
```

inert and poses no danger from any of these devices?

(The exhibit is published to the jurors.)

Agent Knapp, the configuration of the various components

that are in the mockup, how did you arrive at that architecture

21

22

23

24

25

Α.

That's correct.

given the fact that the devices that were used were actually exploded and you didn't have the original to model it after?

A. Well, like I said before, at the scene all the evidence that was collected, the damaged electronic speed controller, the Flysky, the battery parts and that really damaged toggle switch, to have this operate, it has to be plugged up in such a way that leads — the power source goes to the electronic speed controller in this fashion, the toggle switch was wired up coming off one of the — we found the inputs into the electronic speed controller so it would basically break the signal when there was an output to this improvised Christmas tree.

So when you turn it on, where there's a slide switch here that initialized what we talked about, then it doesn't send an output to that light and it will trigger that light, it will flash. So there was an interruption, so a toggle switch was used to break that circuit momentarily until this initialized. And then this is in the off position right now as it stands, and they just have to go and turn it on so it will complete the circuit.

- Q. Okay. Thank you. With regard to the transmitter that was modified, with the absence of the throttle and the trigger like you demonstrated, what would happen when you turned that on?

  A. As I talked about before, the modification -- when they
- 25 modified it from here, what would happen is when you turn this

1 on, it would be like you were pressing this trigger full speed backwards, so there would be an output as soon as you turn 2 on -- here's the power button, usually on the back. When you 3 turn that on, it would send that output directly to the 4 5 receiver, which then the electronic speed controller would

interpret it and send it to the output where the improvised 7 initiator was going to be.

- And this is a Flysky transmitter and a Flysky receiver in 8 Q. this setup, correct?
- 10 Α. Yes, that's correct.

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 11 Thank you. Can we move on to Exhibit 1569, which is the
- 12 Scene B exemplar? Or I should ask: Is there anything else
- 13 about this that you haven't described?
- 14 Α. Everything that was from the scene that we gathered up is 15 represented here in this mockup.
- Thank you. Can we move on, then, to the Scene B exemplar? 16
- Once again, there was a backpack that was concealing the 17 18 device. Same-type pot, the Fagor. It had hobby fuse going 19 into it as a second form of initiation if the R/C fusing system didn't work.

Like we talked about, at the scene what was collected, there was a power source which is basically just another Sub-C pack power source for a hobby car. This was the electronic speed controller and this would be the receiver. And this electronic speed controller, you know, the slide switch to

- initialize in this position here. There were -- the two outputs that would normally go to the motor is going to the improvised initiator, same type.
- And the only difference is, you know, there was -- besides the BBs, there were these small steel nails that was embedded into the -- with the BBs.
- Q. But the fragmentation sleeve, that's in the sealant against the interior walls of the pressure cooker?
- 9 A. Right. And we saw that with the red rosin paper again and
  10 the fragmentation, and then it was also -- had tape on it again
  11 consistent with Scene A.
- 12 Q. Thank you.

2

3

4

5

16

17

18

- MR. CHAKRAVARTY: Again, your Honor, I would ask to publish 1569, the Scene B exemplar.
- THE COURT: All right.
  - THE WITNESS: And as far as demonstration purposes, there was a transmitter that, you know, we purchased off of those receipts that we talked about, the transmitter, receiver, and I have an unmodified transmitter here today.
- 20 BY MR. CHAKRAVARTY:
- Q. That's because the transmitter for the Scene B device was not actually located, correct?
- 23 A. That is correct.
- Q. So you bought one that was -- married the receipt that we saw earlier?

A. Yes.

- Q. Please publish the -- this device. If you can hand it to
- 3 Juror No. 1.
- 4 (The exhibit is published to the jurors.)
- 5 Q. Now, significantly, Agent Knapp, there's no toggle switch
- 6 on this device. Is that correct?
- 7 A. Yeah. Like we talked about earlier, that once you
- 8 initialized it, it didn't have enough output, or there was no
- 9 current going to that improvised initiator, so there was none
- 10 needed.
- 11 Q. Thank you, Agent Knapp.
- 12 If we could move on to Exhibit 1570. This is the
- 13 Watertown evidence -- sorry -- Watertown mockups.
- 14 Agent Knapp, let's first start with the pressure cooker
- device, Exhibit 1571. Can you explain the architecture of that
- 16 mockup?
- 17 | A. Yeah, it was a Fagor. There was cardboard -- circular
- 18 cardboard found, that was burnt and charred, at the scene also.
- 19 There was a toggle switch with wire found, basically a 9-volt
- 20 | battery. Like I said before, there was no improvised initiator
- 21 attached to this, but there was a length of wire with just a
- 22 | simple flip up on-and-off toggle switch.
- 23 Q. None of the circuitry that we saw in the other two devices
- 24 existed in the Watertown pressure cooker?
- 25 A. No. And there was the same type of construction with the

- BBs, pink/red -- the pink rosin paper was found at the scene with the fragmentation.
- 3 Q. And was there also an alternate fusing system?
- A. Well, there were holes poked through here, through the cardboard which was damaged and burnt. And basically, hobby fuse, when it burns, it's going to go away. So the primary means of initiation would have been this hobby fuse, and you
- 8 have that as a secondary type of initiation.
- 9 Q. Okay. And how does this device compare in relative weight to the other two devices that the jury just saw?
- 11 A. Half the weight.
- 12 Q. Fair enough. Thank you.
- 13 Let's move on to the two pipe bomb exemplars, 1572.
- Agent Knapp, just one more question on that Watertown
  device as you're opening that bag. The pieces of the Watertown
- 16 pressure cooker were more intact than those on Boylston Street.
- 17 How would you attribute that? How would you explain that?
- 18 A. That is correct. I mean, pretty much the whole base of
- 19 that pot was just crumbled and crushed. But as far as -- an
- 20 explosion happened, but that could have been due to
- 21 confinement. That was more than likely thrown and the lid
- 22 probably was -- came un- -- I mean, we found no evidence of any
- 23 tape around it. So if the lid did come unseated, you know,
- 24 it's still intact and it's almost acting like a projectile.
- 25 And it just basically violently flew in one direction, and I

- believe that was found embedded --1 MR. WATKINS: I'm going to object, your Honor. 2 3 THE WITNESS: -- in pictures --THE COURT: That's all right. Overruled. Go ahead. 4 5 You can continue. 6 THE WITNESS: -- into a car. 7 BY MR. CHAKRAVARTY: Thank you. Please move on to the pipe bombs. 8 9 It's simple. Your loads are Home Depot 2-inch-diameter 10 pipes. You can put any powder in, but basically it was 11 fragmentation. And in some of the ones that did go off, you 12 can see the circular impressions in some of the pieces that 13 they also had fragmentation in the pipe. It was just a simple 14 hobby fuse lighted and you throw it. 15 Now, there's some tape on the threads of the end caps. that --16 A. Right. I mean, usually -- yeah, we -- in our training 17 18 we'll make what -- we use Vaseline basically so you cause no 19 friction. A possible low explosive can ignite it. Like we 20 read in that manual before, that Inspire, about be careful, you 21 know, threads. So Teflon just to ease so there's no friction 22 buildup between the threads. 23 And just speaking of tape, were there various tapes
- 25 A. Yeah, there was numerous types of tape: black electrical

submitted as evidence in this investigation?

- 1 tape, this Teflon tape, duct tape, clear packing tape. Just
- 2 commonly available items seen over the years of being put in a
- 3 device, like I'm taping up the hobby fuse or taping up wires
- 4 together, but it's just available material used to construct a
- 5 device.
- 6 Q. And in addition to that tape, there's some electrical
- 7 tape, it looks like, on both of these devices?
- 8 A. When it came in, there was electrical tape on the
- 9 initial -- or the actual devices, yes.
- 10 Q. And each of these mockups represents a rendered-safe
- 11 intact device?
- 12 A. That is correct.
- 13 Q. So we don't know exactly what the other pipe bombs that
- 14 exploded may have looked like?
- 15 A. Right. They were just fragmentation, pieces of the pipe.
- 16 Q. And is the elbow, 90-degree elbow pipe, similar in terms
- 17 of construction?
- 18 A. Yeah, just they -- a 90-degree pipe.
- MR. CHAKRAVARTY: Your Honor, I would ask to publish
- 20 1572.
- 21 THE COURT: Okay.
- 22 BY MR. CHAKRAVARTY:
- 23 Q. I'm sorry. "Publish" means to give it to the jury.
- 24 A. The pot too?
- 25 Q. No, just those two -- the pipes; not the pot.

- 1 (The exhibit is published to the jurors.)
- 2 Q. While they're doing that, let's set up the next one.
- 3 Exhibit 1570 is the plastic bag of powder that you just placed
- 4 on counsel table. If you wouldn't mind retrieving a piece of
- 5 evidence in the Rubbermaid container.
- 6 A. (Witness complies.)
- 7 Q. You just picked up Exhibit 854 which was the -- well, what
- 8 is it?
- 9 A. This is the container that approximately three pounds of
- 10 | low explosives were recovered in, and it had -- in the lid it
- 11 had a hole in it and it had three pieces of hobby fuse coming
- 12 out of it.
- 13 Q. All right. And if you wouldn't mind just placing the --
- 14 | 1570, the bag, into the container and holding it up?
- 15 A. (Witness complies.)
- 16 Q. Agent Knapp, if you would hold up Exhibit 854 containing
- 17 Item 1570. Would you take off the lid just so the jury can get
- a sense of how much of that Rubbermaid container was filled.
- 19 A. And of course, there was all the hobby fuse that was
- 20 packed in here amongst the powder.
- 21 Q. And was the hobby fuse lying on top of the powder?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. And the hobby fuse itself has some explosive material in
- 24 it. Is that correct?
- 25 A. That's correct.

- Q. And was the strand of hobby fuse sticking out through the top of that lid?
- 3 A. Yeah, there was three pieces.
- 4 Q. Thank you. And, Agent Knapp, we're done showing
- 5 the -- these exhibits to the jury. I just wanted to ask you to
- 6 put that away, and then we'll do a demonstration of the exhibit
- 7 | 1568 and 1569, with the Court's permission.
- 8 MR. CHAKRAVARTY: I'm seeking the Court's permission 9 to do that demonstration.
- 10 THE COURT: Okay.
- MR. CHAKRAVARTY: Thank you.
- 12 THE COURT: I don't know what the demonstration
- 13 entails. It's kind of a blank check.
- 14 (Laughter.)
- 15 BY MR. CHAKRAVARTY:
- 16 Q. So, Agent Knapp, if you would take the remote control
- 17 transmitter for each of those mockups and perhaps resume the
- 18 witness stand. And you place each of these devices either on
- 19 the podium or I can hold one.
- 20 (Pause.)
- 21 A. Like I said before --
- 22 THE COURT: Why don't we have a question.
- MR. CHAKRAVARTY: Yeah, thank you.
- 24 BY MR. CHAKRAVARTY:
- 25 Q. Thank you. Agent Knapp, first of all, you've taken off

- 1 the lid of Exhibits 1568 and 1569, correct?
- 2 A. Yes, correct.
- 3 | Q. And each of the -- each of those receivers for each of
- 4 those radio-controlled devices has been bound to the respective
- 5 transmitters. Is that accurate?
- 6 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 7 | Q. And how did you learn to do that?
- 8 A. Basically, R/C hobby model cars, there's instructions out
- 9 there widely available, there's manual -- instruction manuals
- 10 that come. And based on the evidence collected, as I said
- 11 before, I put it into the appropriate configuration as the
- 12 fusing system would have been in Scene A.
- 13 O. Do the instruction manuals for each of those devices also
- 14 have the binding procedures?
- 15 A. It's a simple process where you bind the receiver to the
- transmitter, you turn on the power on the receiver, and then
- 17 | there's a bind -- there's this little bind button up here that
- 18 you press in and then you turn the power on, and then there's a
- 19 blinking light. And then once it's bound, it stays solid. And
- 20 then you remove the little binding plug and you put the plugs
- 21 | into the appropriate channel in the receiver and get ready
- 22 to -- you know, if you had a hobby car, you would get ready to
- 23 drive, steer it, move it.
- 24 Q. And is the Flysky receiver bound to the Flysky transmitter
- 25 that you brought?

- A. The modified, yes.
- Q. The modified transmitter? And the Spektrum receiver is
- 3 bound to the Spektrum exemplar that you had purchased as well?
- 4 A. That is correct.
- 5 | Q. In your experience in making these mockups and testing
- 6 them, was one transmitter capable of activating the other
- 7 receiver?

- 8 A. As I said, they use two different forms to communicate.
- 9 Spektrum uses a digital sequencing and the Flysky uses
- 10 sequencing hopping. So the transmitter and the receiver have
- 11 to have that type of technology so they can communicate with
- 12 each other. That has the digital sequencing and the Flysky has
- 13 the frequency hopping.
- 14 Q. So they don't communicate?
- 15 A. No.
- 16 Q. You described an arming procedure that's required for each
- of the receivers, for the receiver-electronic speed controller
- 18 combination.
- 19 A. But you have to initialize each one. Basically there's a
- 20 | little slide switch from the electronic speed control you turn
- 21 on and it powers it up, and then it goes through its little
- 22 sequence, and then it's waiting for the signal from the
- 23 transmitter to receive an input.
- 24 Q. And so can you position the Christmas tree light for each
- 25 of these devices in a way that the jury can see whether the

- 1 light turns on.
- 2 A. (Witness complies.)
- 3 Q. Now, in the improvised explosive device, that Christmas
- 4 | tree light filament would be embedded in the explosive
- 5 material. Is that right?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. So now, if you can arm each of the devices and then resume
- 8 the witness stand with the respective transmitters?
- 9 A. Okay.
- 10 Q. I'm sorry. Is there anything else that you need to
- 11 describe about what you're doing?
- 12 A. No. Basically, it's turned on. You can see there's power
- 13 coming to it. And right now it's in the safe-arm position. So
- 14 when you turn it on, it wouldn't get a little blink on the
- 15 light. And if it was on -- do you see that blink -- that would
- 16 cause the power to detonate it.
- 17 Q. Agent Knapp, you're going to have to get back to a
- 18 microphone.
- 19 A. So I'm going to go to the safe arm -- I'm going to take it
- 20 off the safe arm -- I'm going to put it on safe. It's
- 21 initializing, and in a couple of seconds, and then I'm turning
- 22 it on. Now all I have to do is press this button to turn the
- 23 receiver on.
- 24 Q. Okay. And you've pressed the modified Flysky transmitter
- 25 and it's activated, the device, 1568, the Scene A mockup?

A. Correct.

- 2 | Q. Now, please go on to 1569, the Scene B mockup.
- 3 A. Once again, there's a slide switch here. But we didn't
- 4 know the configuration of this transmitter, the controller.
- 5 But the slide switch is on and it's armed. And then you just
- 6 have to put an input from this transmitter to it.
- 7 Q. And you've depressed the transmitter in the exemplar,
- 8 | Spektrum transmitter, and it has activated the Christmas tree
- 9 light on the 1569, Scene B mockup. Is that right?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 Q. Now, you obviously did that here at close range. And you
- don't know the exact range of each of these devices. Is that
- 13 right?
- 14 A. That's correct.
- 15 Q. When you activated one of the transmitters, it did not
- 16 activate the Christmas tree light on the other receiver. Is
- 17 that correct?
- 18 A. That's correct.
- MR. CHAKRAVARTY: That's all I have, your Honor.
- 20 THE COURT: Mr. Watkins, do you want the witness there
- 21 or on the stand?
- 22 MR. WATKINS: I would like to start off there.
- THE COURT: Okay. Fine.
- 24 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 25 BY MR. WATKINS:

- Q. Good afternoon, Agent Knapp.
- 2 A. Good afternoon.
- 3 Q. 1568 is this one, correct, from Scene A?
- I'm going to move this over to counsel table.
- 5 THE COURT: Mr. Watkins, you stay near the microphone
- 6 too, please.

- 7 MR. WATKINS: I will. I know I have a soft voice.
- 8 THE COURT: It's not just for the room; it's for
- 9 transmission to other rooms as well.
- 10 BY MR. WATKINS:
- 11 Q. Now, Agent Knapp, as I understand it, it's -- the two
- 12 switches on here, right, this one has to be turned on. The
- problem is when this one turns on, this immediately does a
- 14 little cycle that would light up the Christmas tree light and
- 15 that would ignite, right?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 Q. And that's why it needed this added feature of this arming
- 18 switch, right?
- 19 A. That's a safe-and-arm toggle switch.
- 20 Q. Safe-and-arm toggle switch. Because then it runs its
- 21 cycle, you can put that switch on, and then it's ready to go,
- 22 right?
- 23 A. It's waiting for input from the transmitter.
- 24 Q. From the transmitter.
- Now, this battery pack here, this doesn't last forever.

- 1 Like any other battery pack, you have to recharge it. It will
- 2 lose power over time, correct?
- 3 A. That's correct.
- 4 | Q. And not only will it lose power, but if it's on all the
- 5 time, it will drain the battery. The battery will go dead,
- 6 right?
- 7 A. Well, if you're not putting an input and you're running
- 8 | the motor and the battery is then being drained because you're
- 9 speeding around on your hobby car.
- 10 Q. Correct. Or it's just the electronic speed controller
- 11 takes a certain amount of energy itself, right?
- 12 A. There's a little bit of energy. But not like taking it
- 13 and driving the car on the motor.
- 14 Q. Of course. So in order for this to work, this has to be
- 15 charged up. It has to have a charge in it, right?
- 16 A. That's correct.
- 17 Q. And then it would go into this pressure cooker pot.
- 18 Now, I saw -- I think the jury saw there are actually two
- 19 pieces of cardboard in each of these pressure cooker bombs,
- 20 right?
- 21 A. Right.
- 22 Q. And that is consistent with what you found on the street
- 23 at each scene, there were two kind of -- I mean, there were
- 24 shards but you could identify two of these, right?
- 25 A. There was -- yes, there was two. Well, there was

- 1 cardboard, very fragmented, with duct tape around it of a
- 2 circular nature, yes.
- 3 Q. And two pieces at each site, right?
- 4 A. Well, and then Watertown, I think there was three without
- 5 duct tape on it.
- 6 Q. So you put both of these in. But you don't know what the
- 7 order, for example, of these pieces of cardboard were in there,
- 8 right?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. And indeed, this one could be on top and -- where did our
- 11 | lid go? There it is. Go on top like that?
- 12 A. Sure.
- 13 Q. So going back, then, to this arming switch, you could turn
- 14 this on, but at some point when you're getting to use it, this
- 15 safe arm switch is the last thing that's going to happen to
- 16 make it operational, correct?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Okay. So one would flip the switch and put it back in
- 19 here and then put this down on here and close it up, and then
- 20 all we could do is wait for the signal from the transmitter,
- 21 right?
- 22 A. If it was on, yes.
- 23 Q. So again, the last thing that actually went inside when I
- 24 just did that was this piece of cardboard, right?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. I think I'm done here. If you could go back to the stand,
   I'll go back to my usual spot.
- 3 MR. WATKINS: I'm actually not getting any screens at this point.
- 5 THE COURT: No, you're not. What do you want, the 6 computer?
- 7 MR. WATKINS: Yes, please. There we are.
- 8 BY MR. WATKINS:
- 9 Q. You talked a little bit about what your role became once
- 10 the bombing happened on April 15th. You stayed down in
- 11 Quantico, and part of what you did was intake evidence there,
- 12 right?
- 13 A. Yeah. I was down in Quantico, in the explosives unit, and
- 14 the evidence started coming down to the laboratory.
- Q. And so when that came in, you would identify -- or you
- would help identify it as maybe part of an explosive item that
- would be within your purview? In other words, items would come
- down, this might be involved with an explosive device, it goes
- 19 to Agent Knapp -- or you would decide it goes to Agent Knapp in
- 20 the explosives unit?
- 21 A. That was decided at -- once an explosive event or
- 22 explosive case, it would come through our unit, and an examiner
- 23 was assigned. And I was the examiner that was assigned to this
- 24 case.
- 25 Q. And because there were -- I think you said really

- 1 thousands of items of evidence that were tied to the explosive
- 2 device, right?
- 3 A. Yes, there was over 1300 individual submissions of items
- 4 that came in.
- 5 Q. And some of those had sub-items that went to hundreds of
- 6 items, right?
- 7 A. There was a lot, yes.
- 8 Q. I'm showing you --
- 9 MR. WATKINS: I think this is in evidence, your Honor,
- 10 but perhaps it should just go to the witness to make sure.
- 11 Q. Do you recognize what is depicted on the screen?
- 12 A. Fragmented remains of cardboard.
- 13 Q. And that's identified as Q199?
- 14 A. That's correct.
- 15 Q. And was that one of the items that you listed in your
- 16 report as being associated with the bombs?
- 17 A. Correct; it was listed in my report.
- 18 Q. And now, I do believe this is in evidence as part of 623
- 19 because I think I've seen it there, but we don't have sub
- 20 | numbers for that. I'm going to ask my colleagues if they could
- 21 determine. Otherwise, I'll admit it separately.
- 22 THE COURT: It doesn't hurt to admit it separately.
- 23 MR. WATKINS: Then I would move for admission of
- 24 Defendant's Exhibit 3093.
- MR. CHAKRAVARTY: No objection, your Honor.

```
(Defense Exhibit No. 3093 received into evidence.)
 1
 2
              MR. WATKINS: While that's being shown on the screen,
 3
     may I approach, please?
     BY MR. WATKINS:
 5
          You, in your report, identified this as fragments of
     cardboard collected at Scene A. Is that correct?
 7
              THE COURT: Are you getting the picture?
 8
              THE JURORS: No.
 9
              MR. WATKINS: Oh, I'm sorry.
10
              THE COURT: Now? Okay. Sometimes there's a delay.
     BY MR. WATKINS:
11
          Is that right, you identified a number of items?
12
13
          I've identified a lot of items, but I don't have my report
     Α.
14
     available, so...
15
         Your report is 108 pages long?
         That's correct.
16
          Did you -- I thought you told Mr. Chakravarty that you
17
18
     looked over your report before you came in today?
19
     Α.
          I looked over the report previously.
20
     Q.
          I'm sorry?
          I had looked over it previously, but not this morning or
21
22
     today.
23
         But just sitting here now, you know that cardboard came
24
     in, and that was analyzed and found to be part of one of the
     bombs?
25
```

A. Correct.

- 2 Q. And you talked about the multidiscipline -- the different
- 3 disciplinary areas within the FBI laboratory, right? You
- 4 | talked about fingerprint identification, you talked about
- 5 chemistry, you talked about -- what else, firearms
- 6 identification, I think, DNA? There's all kinds of different
- 7 disciplines within the lab, right?
- 8 A. Correct. There are multiple disciplines within the
- 9 laboratory.
- 10 | Q. And part of what you do when you see something come in for
- analysis is to also help to determine where that should go
- 12 next, in other words, whether it should go to another
- 13 discipline either after you've seen it or after you've done
- 14 your full analysis?
- 15 A. Yes. There's what we call an examination report where the
- 16 evidence is flowing through the laboratory, what disciplines
- 17 get certain particular pieces of items which will then
- 18 | eventually -- once those examiners do their examinations, then
- 19 the evidence will be coming back over to the explosive unit, to
- 20 myself, to analyze the bits and pieces of the parts.
- 21 | Q. In the early stages of the Boston Marathon bombing case,
- 22 all of the disciplines communicated with each other. There
- 23 were daily meetings about the evidence, right?
- 24 A. Basically there was an exam plan started. And depending
- 25 on what it was, it went to those examiners, and then it went to

- the next examiner, whatever discipline that was, and -- but there was other communication going on.
- 3 Q. And there were, indeed, daily meetings about the evidence
- 4 and the -- what should be analyzed and how far people had
- 5 gotten with their analysis on the Boston Marathon bombing case,
- 6 right?
- 7 A. Right. That was a bigger picture -- I mean, the lab was
- 8 doing its part, but then there was these daily meetings with
- 9 our FBI headquarters and other FBI entities involved in this
- 10 case.
- 11 Q. Ms. Clarke has helped me out. Can I refresh you with your
- 12 report about Q199 came from?
- 13 A. Sure.
- 14 Q. It's on the computer here. So you could see the heading
- 15 from your report. Don't read it, but I want to point out where
- 16 you are. And you recognize that as something you would do on
- 17 your report?
- 18 A. Yeah, the various Q items, right.
- 19 Q. Right. And then if you scroll down to the next page,
- 20 we're looking for Q199. Yeah, there we go.
- 21 A. Right.
- 22 Q. So does that refresh your recollection where Q199 came
- 23 from?
- 24 A. From Scene A.
- 25 | Q. And when you're talking about Scene A, that's the Boston

- 1 | Marathon bombing Scene A?
- 2 A. Correct.
- 3 Q. So to get back to Q199, these shreds, they were sent to
- 4 other disciplines for analysis also, right?
- 5 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 6 Q. The shreds from Q199 which was found at Scene A was sent
- 7 for fingerprint analysis. Is that correct?
- 8 MR. CHAKRAVARTY: Objection, your Honor, to this line.
- 9 Not to this question but to the --
- 10 THE COURT: Well, you can have this question.
- 11 BY MR. WATKINS:
- 12 Q. Did it get sent for analysis?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Do you know whether positive results of a fingerprint
- 15 analysis were done?
- MR. CHAKRAVARTY: Objection, your Honor.
- 17 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 18 BY MR. WATKINS:
- 19 Q. Showing you the remains of the backpack that were found at
- 20 | Scene B, Boylston, marathon bombing, do you recognize that and
- 21 do you recognize the Q number? It's hard to read, but I think
- 22 Mr. Chakravarty put this up for you just before.
- 23 A. That looks like Q51?
- 24 Q. This would be Q11.
- 25 A. Q11.

- 1 Q. Do you know what --
- 2 A. Remains of a backpack.
- 3 Q. And inside of that backpack were remnants of pieces of
- 4 paper?
- 5 A. Yeah, correct.
- 6 Q. Do you know whether that was sent for fingerprint
- 7 analysis?
- 8 A. Yes, that was sent for analysis.
- 9 Q. And do you know whether there were results obtained?
- MR. CHAKRAVARTY: Objection, your Honor.
- 11 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 12 BY MR. WATKINS:
- 13 Q. Showing you a picture of Exhibit 974, do you recognize
- 14 | that as the lid recovered in Watertown that came to you for
- 15 analysis?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. And that's the pressure cooker lid?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 Q. Do you know whether that item was sent for fingerprint
- 20 analysis?
- 21 A. A lot of these items basically were sent out to the
- 22 | various disciplines. That one would have been sent out also
- 23 looking for fingerprints.
- 24 Q. And the reason to send something out from an explosives
- 25 point of view, a metal surface like this can capture

```
1
     fingerprints pretty easily, correct?
 2
              MR. CHAKRAVARTY: Objection, your Honor.
              THE COURT: Sustained.
 3
     BY MR. WATKINS:
 4
 5
          The FBI has a protocol about what order things are to be
     analyzed in, a general protocol?
 7
          If they're looking for trace, possibly DNA or latent
     fingerprints, that would -- depending on what we're looking
 8
 9
     for, a combination, it could go to latents first for visuals;
10
     come back if they're looking for trace evidence, hair or other
11
     things; or it might end up going to DNA to take a swab and a
     sample. It just all depends what's the evidence, what piece
12
13
     of -- what it is and then where it's going to go first.
14
          And those were the kinds of decisions that were being made
     at Quantico as each of these pieces of evidence came in?
15
          As it came into the lab and then examined, a plan was
16
     established. And evidence just kept coming in, and the various
17
     disciplines and examiners were getting this evidence and doing
18
19
     their examinations on it.
20
          Now, I want to move to the transmitters that you talked
     about as the fusing system. There was a lot of testing done on
21
22
     these particular transmitters, and you talked about people
23
     buying exemplars and things of that nature?
24
          We -- the explosion unit, we ended up purchasing these
25
     products, yes.
```

```
1
          That's what I'm getting at. It was a "we." It was a
     group effort; it wasn't you doing all of these particular
 2
     things, right?
 3
          There were other examiners in our unit purchasing, and
 4
 5
     some individuals going out, looking for the type of pots that
     were used, yes.
 7
          Now -- but they also did independent testing of the
     circuits and tried to make sure that -- well, they came to the
 8
 9
     conclusion that you reported here about these transmitters
10
     binding to these receivers, right?
11
              MR. CHAKRAVARTY: Objection, your Honor. Objection.
              THE COURT: Sustained.
12
13
     BY MR. WATKINS:
14
          And they issued a report, right, of their own about the
     transmitters and receivers?
15
16
              MR. CHAKRAVARTY: Objection.
17
              THE COURT: Let me see you at the side.
              (Discussion at sidebar and out of the hearing of the
18
19
     jury:)
              MR. CHAKRAVARTY: The government was restricted from
20
21
     incorporating the very same type of testimony that the defense
22
     is now attempting to elicit, but this is something beyond his
```

expertise. He just simply looked at results of other -- the

to the fact that other people had done their own independent

other disciplines, and in some cases he incorporated reference

23

24

tests in his report. But he didn't adopt the findings of all of those in order to make his assessment.

I mean, that would pull the sting out of whatever Mr. Watkins was going to do, had he been transparent about the strategy of first bringing it out on cross.

MR. WATKINS: No, I did it in a very evenhanded way. He testified on direct that he didn't know what the distance that each one of them could go on was. The report actually says, quite specifically, what the distance of each of the transmitter receiver pairs was.

That's all I was doing, was gearing up to do a kind of refresh recollection with him with these reports. And that's why I was asking about the reports. That's the one and only question.

THE COURT: He didn't testify to it.

MR. WATKINS: No. Mr. Chakravarty asked him "Do you know what the distance is" --

THE COURT: And he said no.

MR. WATKINS: He said, "I don't know," but he knows this report and he does know. There were a lot of things he did not know until he prepared for testimony today using those kinds of reports.

THE COURT: It looks like you're trying to cross-examine your own evidence. I mean, you want to get it in so you can criticize it.

```
1
              MR. WATKINS: No.
              THE COURT: I'm not following this.
 2
              MR. WATKINS: All I want to get in is the distance
 3
     that -- one of the transmitters had a distance of 572 feet; the
 4
 5
     other one had 1100 feet. The evidence is that our client kind
     of raced away from the one scene, and it goes to his
     sophistication concerning, you know, what he knew about the
 7
 8
    particular item and its attributes.
 9
              MR. CHAKRAVARTY: Any information about the range was
10
     not from this witness; it was from this other expert who was
11
     doing his own independent analysis. He didn't incorporate that
     into his findings and he didn't do it.
12
13
              MR. WATKINS: That's not true. It is in his report.
14
     It is in his report.
15
              MR. WEINREB: We have good reason to exclude it under
     403 grounds because the purpose of this examination is to
16
     suggest that Tamerlan Tsarnaev could have detonated that second
17
18
    bomb, which the defense has no good-faith basis for suggesting
19
    because they know that it's not --
20
              THE COURT: I don't know because he's already -- well,
21
     anyway.
22
              MR. WATKINS: Your Honor, I just want to correct one
23
     thing. It is absolutely in his report. He does adopt the
24
     distances. It's in there.
25
              MR. CHAKRAVARTY: Here's the report. It very well may
```

```
1
    be. It's 108 pages. If you want to find that.
              THE COURT: The fact that it's in the report isn't a
 2
    basis for admitting it, necessarily. You need something more
 3
     than that. He testified on the subject and said that he
 4
 5
     doesn't know.
              MR. WATKINS: And so I can refresh his recollection.
 7
              THE COURT: You could try to refresh his recollection,
    but that's not what you started to do. If you want to put the
 8
 9
     report in front of him and see if that refreshes his
     recollection --
10
11
              MR. MELLIN: But, your Honor, the problem with this is
12
     there's no good-faith basis for what -- they're trying to argue
13
     that Tamerlan had both receivers -- or both transmitters, and
14
     that is the only reason they're raising this issue. They don't
15
     have a good-faith basis and they can't argue that.
              MR. WEINREB: And this will just mislead and confuse
16
     the jury and it should be excluded under 403 grounds. It is a
17
     critical issue in the case. The defense knows it's not true.
18
19
     They have no good-faith basis for suggesting it. Introducing
20
     it will mislead and confuse the issues and, therefore, it
21
     should --
22
              MS. CONRAD: Judge --
23
              MR. WEINREB: -- be excluded on 403 grounds.
24
              MS. CONRAD: -- if two prosecutors can speak, can two
25
     defense attorneys speak?
```

THE COURT: Go ahead. 1 MS. CONRAD: Thank you. First of all, the government 2 -- and the Court has allowed the government to introduce in 3 terms of whether it's his finding or not his finding, and 4 5 experts rely on others' testing. And they've been allowed to introduce what he's learned from others, so it seems to me that 6 7 that's fair ground. 8 Second of all, it's either impeachment or refresh your 9 recollection. 10 Third of all, it seems to me whether or not we can address an issue that was specifically addressed on direct 11 examination, which is the range of these -- it seems to me 12 13 that's a no-brainer. We can. And what we're going to argue 14 from it or not argue from it is for another day. I don't think 15 the government can just put us off from addressing something that's relevant. 16 THE COURT: No, I think it's a fair Rule 403 question, 17 but I don't know the answer. So give me something more about 18 19 why there's no good-faith basis. I mean, somebody knows 20 something to the opposite? 21 MR. WEINREB: Yes; the defendant. 22 MS. CONRAD: That's ridiculous. I mean, to say the 23 defense can --24 THE COURT: Keep your voice down. 25 MS. CONRAD: To say that -- I don't think we're there

```
1
     yet, first of all. But second of all, to say that the defense
     can't argue something that -- to which there exists -- as to
 2
     which there is contrary evidence is ridiculous because, first
 3
     of all, that's like saying that in an identification case if
 4
 5
     your client told you he was guilty, you can't argue mistaken
     identification. That's Defense 101. This also goes to the
 7
     issue that the government claims that was Jahar's apartment.
 8
              THE COURT: Okay. I will exclude it on the 403
 9
     grounds.
10
              MS. CONRAD: May I just have a clarification? 403 --
11
              THE COURT: For the reasons argued by Mr. Weinreb.
              MS. CONRAD: I don't understand.
12
13
              (In open court:)
14
     BY MR. WATKINS:
          I'm showing you what's been identified as Exhibit 1160-02.
15
16
     That is the receipt Mr. Chakravarty showed you for an order.
     Do you recall that?
17
18
         Correct.
     Α.
19
          What is the date on that particular order? Oh, I'm sorry.
20
     This is not -- this is already in evidence? There.
```

22 A. February 8th of 2013.

the date on that receipt?

- 23 Q. And whose name is on the receipt for that R/C car that you
- 24 have the exemplars from?
- 25 A. Tamerlan Tsarnaev.

- 1 | Q. Showing you 1431 --
- THE COURT: These are all in, right?
- MR. WATKINS: Yes.
- 4 BY MR. WATKINS:
- 5 Q. -- which Mr. Chakravarty showed you on direct. Let me see
- 6 if I can find the date on this one. Can you -- what is the
- 7 date on that purchase?
- 8 A. It's 4/8/13.
- 9 Q. And that is seven days before the Boston Marathon bombing?
- 10 A. April 8th.
- 11 Q. And these are for parts that were related to the second
- 12 | Boston Marathon bombing, Scene 2?
- 13 A. This was the Spektrum products, I believe.
- 14 Q. And I think you testified the Spektrum was related to
- 15 | Scene B, the second Boston Marathon bombing?
- 16 A. That's correct.
- 17 Q. Mr. Chakravarty showed you *Inspire* magazine and the alarm
- 18 clock pages from that. Do you recall that?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And there's no mention in *Inspire* magazine about
- 21 radio-controlled cars as a fusing system. Is that right?
- 22 A. The portion I read at, no.
- 23 Q. And what I think you've told us is that this is something
- 24 that can be easily found out on the Internet by searches?
- 25 A. Correct.

```
Q. Were you aware that Tamerlan Tsarnaev searched the
 1
 2
     Internet for radio-controlled cars in the months leading up to
 3
     the Boston Marathon bombing?
              MR. CHAKRAVARTY: Objection, your Honor.
 4
 5
              THE COURT: Sustained. Sustained.
 6
              And I remind the jury that unanswered questions
 7
    produce no evidence.
 8
              MR. WATKINS: That's all I have, your Honor.
 9
              MR. CHAKRAVARTY: Nothing further.
              THE COURT: Agent, thank you. You may step down.
10
11
              (The witness is excused.)
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE We, Marcia G. Patrisso, RMR, CRR, and Cheryl Dahlstrom, RMR, CRR, Official Reporters of the United States District Court, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript constitutes, to the best of our skill and ability, a true and accurate transcription of our stenotype notes taken in the matter of Criminal Action No. 13-10200-GAO, United States of America v. Dzhokhar A. Tsarnaev. /s/ Marcia G. Patrisso MARCIA G. PATRISSO, RMR, CRR Official Court Reporter /s/ Cheryl Dahlstrom CHERYL DAHLSTROM, RMR, CRR Official Court Reporter Date: 4/28/15